

The Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge -III, Begusarai

B.A. No. 780 of 2026

Arising out of Lakho P.S Case No. 47 of 2026

Sri Krishna Singh, S/o-Late Shiv Nandan Singh
R/o-Village-Iniyar, P.S-Lakho, District-Begusarai

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of BiharOpp. Party

Present :

: Sri Abhinav Gautam, Ld. Counsel for the accused/petitioner

: Sri Dilip Kumar, Ld. Addl. P.P for the State

23.06.2026

1. The instant regular bail application has been filed by and on behalf of the above named accused who is in custody since 20.05.2026 in connection with **Lakho P.S Case No. 47 of 2026** for having committed offences punishable under sections 318(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2), 3(5), 324(4), 351(2)(3), 352 of B.N.S.
2. It is submitted by the leaned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and has falsely been implicated in this case and the earlier anticipatory bail application being No. 872/2026 of the petitioner became infructuous as the petitioner was arrested during the pendency of the aforesaid anticipatory bail application and except this no any other bail petition in connection with this case has either been filed by the petitioner in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna earlier and the petitioner has **six criminal antecedents of similar nature** vide 1. Nagar P.S Case No. 681/2018 2. Mufassil (Lakho) P.S Case No. 683/2018 3. Mufassil (Lakho) P.S Case No. 507/2013 4. Lakho P.S Case No. 109/2024 5. Lakho P.S Case No. 184/2024 and 6. Lakho P.S Case No. 136/2025. It is further submitted by the learned counsel that there is ongoing civil suit pertaining to land in question and the petitioner purchased the said land from the vendors through registered sale deed. Lastly, a prayer is made to grant regular bail to the petitioner.
3. On the other hand, the learned Addl. P.P vehemently opposed the prayer of petitioner and submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender and his modus operandi is to purchase a piece of land on the basis of forged and fabricated document and to create a dispute over the same and thereafter used to usurp the land with force under a well-hatched criminal conspiracy and the petitioner is a land mafia . It is further submitted by the Ld. Addl. P.P that in this case the petitioner with the aid of seller of the land created forged and fabricated genealogy in order to grab the land of the informant and no way the act of the petitioner can be cloaked as civil in nature. The Ld. Addl. P.P vehemently submitted that the the petitioner has a very rich criminal blemish past and the investigation is still going on and if he is released on bail at this stage he

The Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge -III, Begusarai


B.A. No. 780 of 2026

Arising out of Lakho P.S Case No. 47 of 2026

may try to influence the witnesses. Therefore, the petitioner does not deserve bail.

4. Briefly stated allegation as per FIR is that part of the ancestral land of the Informant by forging and fabricating the documents, co-accused persons sold it to the present petitioner by registered sale deed dated 04.04.2026. It is further allegation that by preparing forged, fabricated and false genealogy the land belonging to the petitioner is illegally sold by the co-accused persons and purchased by the petitioner. It is further allegation that on 09.04.2026 the present petitioner along with other co-accused persons forcibly tried to take possession of the piece of the land in question by ploughing the same by a tractor and further threatened the Informant to forget the land in question or pay Rs. 5,00,000/-as extortion. It is further allegation that the petitioner earlier got a different piece of ancestral land of the informant registered in his name in connivance with the co-accused persons on the basis of forged and fabricated documents and in connection with that Lakho P.S Case No. 136/2025 is also registered against the petitioner.
5. From perusal of FIR, it is apparent that the accusation against the petitioner is of serious nature as he allegedly purchased the piece of land belonging to the informant on the basis of false and fabricated genealogy. Record further reveals that the petitioner is having very rich criminal antecedents as he has allegedly continued committing similar nature of offences while being on bail in other cases which shows that this is not a simple civil dispute but a comprehensive and deeply troubling conspiracy involving fabrication of false documents and on the basis of that purchasing a property to create dispute with original lawful owner on the property. I find force in the submission of the Ld. Addl. P.P that investigation in this case is yet to be concluded and charge sheet is yet to be filed and if the petitioner would be released at this stage, he may try to influence the witnesses or may be indulged in committing similar nature of offence.
6. In view of aforesaid discussion as well as keeping in mind the nature of accusation and gravity of offence as well as rich blemish criminal past, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage. Accordingly, the instant bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated


23/06/2026

(Mukund Kumar)
District & Additional
Sessions Judge-III, Begusarai

Date of Order	23.06.2026
Date of reserving Order	18.06.2026
Uploading Date	02.07.2026
Uploaded by	Pragendra Kumar