

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, BEGUSARAI (BIHAR)-851101

J.J.C.P No- 18/2021

[Arising out of Nagar P.S. Case No- 708/2020]

1. **Pritam Kumar @ Bala, s/o- Sanjay Thakur**

r/o- village- Jagir Mohalla, P.S- Nagar, District- Begusarai

.....Petitioner

VS

State of Bihar

.Opposite Party

Appearance:

For the Petitioner: Mr.

. Advocate

For the State: Mr.

Ld. Advocate

S I . N o .	Date of Order of Proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
	08.02.22	1. The present bail application is filed on behalf of the above named petitioner who is in custody since 21.12.2020 in connection with above mentioned case under section 394 IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act. 2. Case called out. The learned Advocate on behalf of the petitioner as well as the learned Addl.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State have argued. 3. The crux of the prosecution version is that one informant Sunil Kumar of Marwari Mohalla of Begusarai on 18.12.2020 lodged an FIR stating therein that on the previous night unknown thief armed with pistol entered into his jewelley shop and looted two lakh rupees and about 150 gms to 200gms gold from his shop on the point of pistol and thereafter flee away. Then he prayed for legal action. 4. The Ld counsel of petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. It is further submitted that accused petitioner is falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that a Cri. Appeal No- 24/2021 had been filed before Sessions Judge, Begusarai by this petitioner and the same was rejected by this Id. Court and since then no appeal has been filed before Hon'ble High Court, Patna in any provision of law. He further submitted that the petitioner has been declared juvenile by the J.J.Board, Begusarai on 08.02.2021 and the police has dragged this petitioner in a false case. Therefore, prayer should be allowed. 5. On the other hand Ld Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer and submitted that petitioner is named accused in FIR and it is case of serious offence, therefore, the prayer of bail should be rejected. 6. Having heard both sides, perused the record and case diary. On perusal of the case record it appears that the petitioner is in custody since 21.12.2020 and the allegations are that the petitioner along with other robbed the jewellery shop on the point of	

Contd. 08.02.22	pistal and he also inflicted injuries to the victim. The Social Investigation Report further also opined that the petitioner need strict discipline. The petitioner showed maturity and understood the consequences of his act. The act was committed in preplanned manner. The offence is heinous in nature which attracts severe punishment. If the petitioner be released on bail he may influence the witnesses. Hence, considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of offence and role played by the petitioner, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail. 7. As a sequel to the aforesaid discussions, for the foregoing reasons, but without commenting on merit, the instant bail application for grant of bail being moved by the petitioner namely, Pritam Kumar @ Bala is hereby rejected. (Dict) Addl. Sessions Judge-1st Begusarai	