

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BEGUSARAI

A.B.A. No. 1170/2026

Ref:- Arising out of Phulwaria P.S. Case No. 107/2026

Dt: 16.05.2026

U/S- 309(4) of B.N.S.

In the matter of :-

Ranveer Kumar, S/o-Ram Sogarath Singh, R/o: Rachiyahi Akashpur, Ward No.-

10, P.S-Singhaul, Distt.-Begusarai

.....Petitioner

Vrs.

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party

Present:- Rishi Kant

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Rohit Kumar, Adv.

Ld. Counsel for the O.P. :- Ld. P.P I/C

Date of order:-10.07.2026

ORDER

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner who is apprehending his arrest in connection with above mentioned case for committing of an offence u/s- 309(4) of B.N.S. and the same has been put up for hearing.

Heard Learned Counsel Shri Rohit Kumar appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner and Learned P.P I/C for the State.

The prosecution case, in short, is that on 14.05.2026 at about 02:00 P.M, the informant in his Pickup Van loaded with articles of Decent Printing Machine departed for Bhagalpur(Bihar) from Faridabad. When the informant reached Begusarai on 16.05.2026, then, at about 10:00 O'clock on N.H, a person riding on Bullet overtook him and stopped him. Thereafter, two persons riding on Splendor and a person riding on Pulsar also came to the informant. They abused the informant and ordered him to open glass of his vehicle. On denial, person riding on Bullet broke the glass of cabin of vehicle with bricks and person riding on Pulsar broke the front glass of his vehicle. All four persons forcibly got down the informant from his vehicle and assaulted him. They also took out Rs 10,000/- from the informant's pocket. After gathering the passer-by persons, they fled from there.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not filed any bail either anticipatory bail or regular bail before this court or any higher court. It is further submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no any offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is not named in F.I.R and his name came in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Chhotu Kumar @ Abhishek Kumar and petitioner has no concern with him. All the allegations against the petitioner are false and concocted. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is ready to abide all the conditions imposed by the court, so petitioner may be released on bail.

Per contra, the P.P I/C appearing on behalf of State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the investigation is still pending and the petitioner is

yet to be put up for TIP.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, and learned P.P I/C for the State and perused the photocopy of case diary. As per F.I.R., the petitioner is not named in F.I.R, but his name has been surfaced on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Abhishek Kumar @ Chhotu mentioned at Para 24 of photocopy of case diary which shows the involvement of this petitioner in the said occurrence. Paragraph 24 contains the confessional statement of the co-accused, Abhishek Kumar @ Chhotu, wherein he has disclosed that on the instruction of present petitioner Ranveer Kumar, he intercepted the vehicle of the informant. Further, the co-accused used his motorcycle bearing reg. no. BR09AT-1705 to intercept the vehicle of the informant and he, along with other co-accused persons including petitioner broke the window glass of the informant's vehicle, forcibly dragged him out and assaulted him and snatched mobile phone and cash of Rs. 10,000/- from him. Further, on disclosure of co-accused, looted cash, half t-shirt which was used in the alleged crime, a steelbird Air company helmet and blue colour Realme mobile phone bearing IMEI Nos. 869611070431352, 869611070431345 were recovered. Accordingly, search and seizure memo was prepared which is evident from paragraph 27 of the case diary. Further, paragraph 45 of the case diary is the CDR which shows that the mobile of this petitioner was in contact with other accused persons's mobile at the time of occurrence. Paragraph 56 of case diary reveals that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent vide Singhaul P.S case no. 386/22, but the petitioner has mention in his bail application in Para 3 that he has no criminal antecedent which clearly shows that petitioner has suppressed the fact relating to his criminal antecedent in his bail application. Investigation is still in progress.

Considering the aforesaid facts, the suppression of fact relating to criminal history of petitioner and the fact that the investigation is still in progress, I do not find it appropriate to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rishi Kant)

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Date of Judgment/Order	10.07.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	N.A.
Uploading Date	14.07.2026
Uploaded by	Rahul Verma