

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BEGUSARAI

A.B.A. No. 1128/2026

Ref:- Arising out of Teghra P.S. Case No. 304/2019

Dt: 31.08.2019

U/S-447, 341, 323, 504, 506, 324, 326, 307, 379, 34 of I.P.C.

In the matter of :-

**Chandan Kumar @Chunchun Kumar, S/o- Late Muneshwar Kunwar, R/o Vill.-
Pidhauri, P.S- Teghra, Distt.-Begusarai**

.....Petitioner

Vrs.

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Present:- Rishi Kant

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Mukesh Kumar, Adv.

Ld. Counsel for the O.P. :- Ld. P.P. I/C

Date of order:- 11.06.2026

ORDER

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner who is apprehending his arrest in connection with above mentioned case registered u/s 447, 341, 323, 504, 506, 324, 326, 307, 379, 34 of I.P.C, and the same has been put up for hearing.

Heard Learned Counsel Sri Mukesh Kumar appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner and learned P.P. I/C for the State.

Prosecution case, in short, is that informant alleged that he is the driver of Ex-M.L.A. Sri Lalan Kumar of Teghra Bidhan Sabha. On 25.08.2019 at 7.00 P.M evening he was coming back to his home, when he reached at his home at 7.30 P.M. his cousin was armed with paghariya and axe was standing there and his brother-in-law Chintu Kumar of Matihani village armed with country made pistol assaulted him and his cousin Chunchun Kumar with intention to murder assaulted with Paghariya on his head, his head was cut he fall down, he also received injury on his left hand. He further alleged that both snatched five thousand rupees and one bhar gold Hanumani locket. He further further alleged that due to land dispute this occurrence happened. Accordingly, the present case has been registered.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has not moved any other bail petition in any court of law. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence, and has falsely been implicated in this case due to land dispute. It is further submitted that the petitioner and informant belong to same family and there is old standing land dispute regarding the partition of ancestral properties. It is further submitted that no case u/s 307, 326 of I.P.C is made out and at best it is case of 324 of I.P.C and to make serious section 307 & 326 of I.P.C has been added. Allegation of section 379 of I.P.C is not specific and there is no amount of theft articles has been mentioned which is essential for section 379 of I.P.C. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail, and he is willing to abide all the conditions imposed by the court. Therefore, he may be released on bail.

Per contra, the Ld. P.P I/C appearing on behalf of State vehemently opposed the

prayer for bail.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties, and perused the photocopy of case diary. As per F.I.R, there is allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted the informant on his head with pagariya due to which he was injured. From perusal of the record as well as case diary, it appears that both parties are close agnates and there is land dispute between both the parties. Further the allegation of theft is general and omnibus in nature. From perusal of the injury report of the informant, attached with case diary, it appears that the injury sustained by the informant/victim found to be simple in nature. Learned Defence counsel clearly submitted that in para 3 of the bail petition that he has one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail but in entire case diary I.O has mentioned that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner be directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a month from the date of order on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousands) each with two sureties of like amount each subject to the satisfaction of learned court below in Teghra P.S. Case No. 304/2019 on the following conditions that-

1. The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required,
2. The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade them from disclosing of such fact to the court or any police officer.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rishi Kant)

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Date of Judgment/Order	11.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	N.A.
Uploading Date	12.06.2026
Uploaded by	Rahul Verma