

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BEGUSARAI

B.A. No. 713/2026

Ref:- Arising out of Muffasil P.S. Case No. 194/2021

Dt: 26.03.2021

U/S-224, 366, 120(B), 34 of I.P.C.

In the matter of :-

**Ranjeet Kumar @ Bindu Kumar Sah, S/o-Late Mahendra Sah, R/o:- Vill.-
Vishnupur, Mirzapur Bandwar, Ward No.42, P.S-Nagar, District-Begusarai**

.....Petitioner

Vrs.

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Present:- Rishi Kant

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Sanjeet Kumar, Ld. Adv.

Ld. Counsel for the O.P.:- Ld. P.P. I/C

Date of order:-03.06.2026

ORDER

The present bail petition has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner who has been in custody since 30.04.2026 in connection with above mentioned case registered u/s-224, 366, 120(B), 34 of I.P.C, and the same has been put up for hearing.

Heard Learned Counsel Sri Sanjeet Kumar appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner and Ld. P.P. I/C for the State.

The prosecution case in brief is that the informant alleged that Farida Khatoon who was residing at the Shelter Home, Begusarai had escaped from the premises on 25-03-2021 between 09:45 AM and 10:00 AM by scaling the boundary wall while allegedly going towards the roadside for water which was stated to be corroborated from CCTV footage. During inquiry, it surfaced that the said girl was allegedly in a love relationship with Md. Ajmal @ Dulare. Further, during verification and telephonic conversation, it was disclosed by one Sanjeet Kumar that Farida Khatoon had remained with him and his mother on 26.03.2021 and that Md. Ajmal had allegedly instructed her over phone to reach Begusari Bus stand from where he would take her along.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner/accused submitted that the petitioner has neither preferred any application for anticipatory bail nor moved any regular bail application before this Court or the Hon'ble High Court in connection with the present case. It is contended that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence whatsoever. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case due to ulterior motives and has no connection or association with the co-accused persons, namely Farida Khatun and Md. Afjal @ Durale. It is further argued that a bare perusal of the F.I.R would indicate that the allegations against the petitioner are vague and unfounded. Learned counsel contended that the petitioner has been roped into the present case as a result of collusion between the informant and the investigating agency and that the police authorities have misused their powers while implicating him in the present occurrence. It is also submitted that the petitioner has remained in judicial custody since 30.04.2026 and has no criminal antecedent whatsoever. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner is a permanent resident of the locality, there is no likelihood of his absconding, and he undertakes to abide by any condition which may be imposed by the Court. On the

aforesaid grounds, prayer has been made for granting bail to the petitioner.

Per contra, learned P.P. I/C appearing on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail. It has been submitted that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature and pertain to the kidnapping of a minor girl. Learned Prosecutor further submitted that the victim girl has not yet been recovered despite efforts made during investigation and, therefore, release of the petitioner at this stage may adversely affect the ongoing investigation.

Heard learned counsel for the parties at length. Perused the Lower Court Records as well as the case diary produced before this Court. From a perusal of the First Information Report, it transpires that a specific allegation has been levelled against the present petitioner regarding the kidnapping and unlawful taking away of the minor victim, namely Farida Khatoon. The case diary further reveals that the victim girl has not yet been traced or recovered by the investigating agency. The investigation is still in progress and several vital aspects of the matter remain under inquiry. On careful examination of the materials collected during investigation, this Court finds that there are prima facie specific and direct allegations against the present petitioner. At this stage, the materials available in the case diary cannot be said to be wholly improbable or lacking in substance so as to justify grant of bail. The non-recovery of the victim assumes considerable significance in the facts and circumstances of the present case. The possibility of the petitioner influencing the course of investigation, directly or indirectly, cannot be completely ruled out at this stage when the whereabouts of the victim remain unknown. In the present case, the allegations relate to the kidnapping of a minor girl, which is undoubtedly a serious offence having grave social ramifications. The victim has not yet been recovered and the investigation is still at a crucial stage.

Considering the seriousness of the accusation, the specific role attributed to the petitioner, the unrecovered status of the victim, and the overall facts emerging from the case diary, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner has failed to make out a case for grant of bail at this stage. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, and considering the totality of the facts and circumstances, this Court does not find any sufficient ground to extend the privilege of bail to the petitioner. Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rishi Kant)

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Date of Judgment/Order	03.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	N.A.
Uploading Date	04.06.2026
Uploaded by	Rahul Verma