

**In the Court of Sri. Arun Kumar-II**  
**Additional Sessions Judge-III, Begusarai**  
**A.B.A. No. 1024 of 2026**  
**Bakhri P.S. Case No. 12 of 2026**  
**Vikash Kumar Vs State of Bihar**

**Page-1**

**06.08.2026**

This anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, **Vikash Kumar** in **Bakhri P.S. Case No. 12 of 2026** registered u/s-**318(4), 316(5), 3(5) of B.N.S.**

The Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner and the Ld. A.P.P. on behalf of the State are present.

The prosecution story, in short, as per the informant Ram Bhawan Kumar, Branch Manager of Bharat Financial Inclusion Ltd, Begusarai is that two employees field staff namely Vikash Kumar (petitioner) and Pankaj Kumar Bharti have misappropriated the amounts which they have collected from the customers the period of collection was from 24.01.2024 to 10.04.2025 and no amounts were deposited by the petitioners in the office. It has further stated that such facts came after inquiry during Centre Meeting that the amount of misappropriation is Rs. 2,36,556/- against the petitioner and Rs. 91,982/- against co-accused Pankaj Bharti.

Heard. The Ld. counsel on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the present case has been registered on a written application of the informant. Although the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case. Thus, he prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. A.P.P. opposed and submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner to commit the offence. Further the petitioner collected the money from the customers but he did not deposit in the office, rather misappropriated Rs. 2,36,556/- being a staff. Further submitted that petitioner is FIR named accused and sufficient material available on record against the petitioner and investigation is still going on. He prayed to reject the bail application.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record. From perusal of the same, it transpires that the case has been registered for the offence

**In the Court of Sri. Arun Kumar-II**  
**Additional Sessions Judge-III, Begusarai**  
**A.B.A. No. 1024 of 2026**  
**Bakhri P.S. Case No. 12 of 2026**  
**Vikash Kumar Vs State of Bihar**

**Page-2**


**Contd....06.08.2026**

u/s 318(4), 316(5), 3(5) of B.N.S. Further the petitioner collected the money from the customers but he did not deposit in the office, rather misappropriated Rs. 2,36,556/- being a staff. Further the petitioner is also named in the F.I.R. Further there is specific allegation and sufficient material available on record against the petitioner. The investigation is still going on.

Hence considering the aforesaid facts and gravity of offence, I am not inclined to grant the anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, anticipatory bail application is hereby **rejected**. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the court concerned for information and needful.

Further after compliance, the O/C is also directed to consign the record to the record room as per rules.

**(Dict & Corrected)**



**(Sri Arun Kumar-II)**  
**Addl. Sessions Judge-III**  
**Begusarai**