

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BEGUSARAI

B.A. No. 621/2026

Ref:- Arising out of Teghra P.S. Case No. 115/2026

Dt: 04.03.2026

U/S- 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109, 3(5) of B.N.S.

In the matter of :-

Ramikbal Mahto, S/o- Mahadev Mahton, R/o village-Banshi Tola, Gaura-01, Ward No.11, P.S-Teghra, Distt.-Begusarai

.....Petitioner

Vrs.

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Present:- Rishi Kant

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Kailash Prasad Singh, Adv.

Ld. Counsel for the O.P. :- Ld. P.P. I/C

Ld. Counsel for the informant :- Sri. Anil Kumar, Adv.

Date of order:-11.06.2026

ORDER

The present bail petition has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner who has been in custody since 12.03.2026 in connection with above mentioned case registered u/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109, 3(5) of B.N.S, and the same has been put up for hearing.

Heard Learned Counsel Sri Kailash Prasad Singh appearing on behalf of accused/petitioners and Learned P.P. I/C for the State and Learned counsel for the informant.

Prosecution case, in short, is that informant alleged that on 04-03-2026 at about 06:00 P.M. her uncle Jitendra Mahto going for defalcate. On that place Ramikbal Mahto assaulted him by Kudal due to which he was injured. Her uncle came home in injured position. Then in the meantime, Pankaj Kumar, Raushan Kumar, Bandhan Mahto, Triloki Mahto came to her house and Pankaj Mahto assaulted Sanjani Kumari by Katta due to which she sustain injury upon neck. It is further alleged all the accused person assaulted by Lathi, Danda and bricks due to which Jitendra Mahto and Janki Devi sustained injuries. The motive behind occurrence is land dispute hence, the occurrence occurred. Accordingly, the present case has been registered.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has not filed any bail application either anticipatory or regular bail before this court or any higher court prior to filing the present bail application. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case due to village politics and land dispute. All sections are bailable except section 118(2) and 109 of B.N.S which is ordered to make the case serious and non-bailable. It is further submitted that the prosecution of case diary and injury report to appears that the case is not made out u/s 126(2) and 109 of B.N.S. It is only added to make the case serious and non-bailable. There is land dispute between them and there is case and counter case between the parties. Lastly he submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 12.03.2026 having one criminal

antecedent and they are willing to abide all the conditions imposed by the court. Therefore, they may be released on bail.

Per contra, the Ld. P.P. I/C appearing on behalf of State and Learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties, and perused the photocopy of case diary. As per F.I.R, the allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted informant's uncle Jitendra Mahto with kudal. From perusal of record, it appears that there is land dispute between them and there is case and counter case between the parties. Further from injury reports, it appears that the injured sustained simple injuries except one and the same is not on the vital part of the body. Moreover, from perusal of Para 77 of case diary, it appears that the charge-sheet has already been submitted against him, and at this stage, there is no submission on behalf of state that the release of the petitioner is likely to result in tampering with the evidence or interfering with the course of trial and the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 12.03.2026 having one criminal antecedent as per statement made at Para 3 of bail petition and also from Para 45 of case diary.

In view of these circumstances, I find it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed. Let the accused/petitioner be released on bail on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each with the satisfaction of learned court below subject to, further conditions:-

1. That one of the bailors must be close relative of the accused/petitioner.
2. That the accused/petitioner undertake that he shall not indulge in any similar nature of offence till conclusion of the trial and on failing so, court concerned shall be liberty to cancel the bail bond in present case if reported by the prosecution.

Office is directed to send a copy of this order through e-mail to the undertrial prisoner/petitioner through the Jail Superintendent, Begusarai.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rishi Kant)

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Date of Judgment/Order	11.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	N.A.
Uploading Date	12.06.2026
Uploaded by	Rahul Verma