

The Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-III, Begusarai

A.B.A. No. 872 of 2026

Arising out of Lakho P.S. Case No. 47 of 2026

-
1. Arvind Prasad Singh, S/o-Tulo Prasad Singh
R/o-Village-Bhagwanpur Desua, P.S-Ujliarpur, District-Samastipur
2. Lalan Prasad Singh, S/o-Kapildev Prasad Singh
R/o-Village-Aahanadpur, P.S-Sarairanjan, District-Samastipur
-Petitioners
- Versus**
- The State of Bihar**Opp. Party
-

Present :

: Sri Rajeshwar Prasad Singh, Ld. Counsel for the accused/petitioners

: Sri Dilip Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the State

23.06.2026

1. The instant anticipatory bail application has been filed by and on behalf of the above named accused persons/petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with **Lakho P.S. Case No. 47 of 2026** for having committed offences punishable under sections 318(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2), 3(5), 324(4), 351(2)(3), 352 of B.N.S as they are apprehending their arrest in this case.
2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and no any other bail petition in connection with this case has either been filed by the petitioners in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna earlier and the petitioner no. 1 and 2 having one criminal antecedent case vide Lakho P.S Case No. 136/2025 of similar nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner No. 1 and 2 are vendors and having bonafide right title holder to execute the sale deed in favour of co-accused namely Sri Krishna Singh. It is further submitted that with respect to the land in question a T.S No. 115/2017 is pending before the court of Ld. Sub-Judge-IV, Begusarai in which the petitioners have been made as defendants. It is also submitted that the petitioners on the basis of correct genealogy executed the sale deed of disputed land in favour of co-accused namely Sri Krishna Singh and at the best if there is any disputed between the informant and petitioners, the same may be of civil in nature. Lastly a prayer is made to grant anticipatory bail order in favour of petitioners as the petitioners are ready to abide by conditions stipulated U/s-438(2) of Cr.P.C or 482(2) of B.N.S.S.
3. On the other hand, the learned Addl. P.P vehemently opposed the prayer of petitioners and submitted that the petitioners manufactured false and fabricated genealogy of a piece of ancestral land belonging to the informant and on the basis of that in furtherance of their common intention sold it to co-accused person namely Krishna Singh and thereafter the other co-accused persons in furtherance of their common intention forcibly tried to take possession of the land in question by ploughing the said land by a tractor in which there was standing crop. The Ld. Addl. P.P further submitted that the petitioners earlier also sold the land belonging to the informant through forged and fabricated documents and with respect to that Lakho P.S Case No. 136/2025 is also registered against the present petitioners. It is further submitted by the Ld. Addl. P.P that in this case the petitioners with the aid of purchaser of the land created forged and fabricated genealogy in order to grab the land of the informant and no way the act of the petitioners can be cloaked as civil in nature. The Ld. Addl. P.P further submitted that the investigation is still going on and for proper and effective investigation the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is imperative, therefore, the petitioners do not deserve

The Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-III, Begusarai


A.B.A. No. 872 of 2026

Arising out of Lakho P.S. Case No. 47 of 2026

anticipatory bail order in their favour.

4. Briefly stated allegation as per FIR is that part of the ancestral land of the informant, the petitioners by forging and fabricating the documents sold it to the co-accused person by registered sale deed dated 04.04.2026. It is further allegation that by preparing forged, fabricated and false genealogy the land belonging to the informant is illegally sold by the petitioners. It is further allegation that on 09.04.2026 the purchaser of the land in question along with other co-accused persons forcibly tried to take possession of the land by ploughing the same by a tractor in which there was standing crops of the informant and further threatened the informant to forget the land in question or pay Rs. 5,00,000/-as extortion. It is further allegation that the petitioners earlier also sold a different piece of ancestral land of the informant on the basis of forged and fabricated documents in connection with that Lakho P.S Case No. 136/2025 is also registered against the petitioners.
5. From perusal of FIR, it is apparent that the accusation against the petitioner is of serious nature as they allegedly sold a piece of ancestral land belonging to the informant on the basis of false and fabricated genealogy. Record further reveals that the petitioners earlier also allegedly sold a different piece of ancestral land of the informant on the basis of forged and fabricated documents , with respect to which Lakho P.S Case No. 136/2025 has already been registered against the petitioners and the petitioners have allegedly continued committing similar nature of offences while being on bail in previous case. The aforesaid conduct of the petitioners shows that this is not a simple civil dispute but a comprehensive and deeply troubling conspiracy involving fabrication of false documents and on the basis of that selling property belonging to the informant and enriching themselves.
6. It is pertinent to point out that while dealing with the anticipatory bail plea the court must evaluate the threat or apprehension of arrest vis a vis the nature and gravity of accusation, criminal antecedent of the accused, false or exaggerated implication, need of custodial interrogation, willing of the accused to cooperate the investigation and conduct of the accused.
7. In view of the aforesaid discussion, this court is of the view that there is serious allegations against the petitioners in this case as they have been allegedly involved in serious, calculated and systematic fraud with the deliberate intention to grab immovable property, being the ancestral property of the informant. As such the petitioners have failed to make out a case to grant anticipatory bail order in their favour. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated


(Mukund Kumar) 22/06/2026

District & Additional
Sessions Judge-III, Begusarai

Date of Order	23.06.2026
Date of reserving Order	18.06.2026
Uploading Date	02.07.2026
Uploaded by	Brijendra Kumar