

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IX, BEGUSARAI.

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-803 of 2026

Arising Out of Complaint, P.S. case no. 887 of 2025

Presiding Officer- GAURAV ANAND

Praveen Kumar @ Dablu @ Pravin Kumar & other

Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Bihar

opposite party.

Date	Order with signature of the Court
15.06.2026	IN THE MATTER OF :- 1. Praveen Kumar @ Dablu @ Pravin Kumar, S/O- Ram Bhajan Mahto @ Ram Bhajan Mahto, aged about 48 years R/O- village- Singhaul P.S.- Singhaul, District- Begusarai, (Bihar) 2. Prabhat Kumar @ Sonu, S/O- Late Harihar Singh, aged about 37 years, R/O- Village- Mahamadpur Gautam, P.S.- Nayagaon, District- Begusarai, (Bihar) Petitioners Versus The state of BiharOpposite Party <u>ORDER</u> This anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioners namely, 1. Praveen Kumar @ Dablu @ Pravin Kumar, 2. Prabhat Kumar @ Sonu, in connection with Complaint, P.S. case no. 887 of 2025 dated 27.06.2025, pending before the Court of Sri Pappu Kumar Pandit, J.M.F.C., Begusarai. This record has been received by this Court, vide. order dated 08.06.2026 of Ld. District and Sessions Judge, Begusarai, for hearing and disposal. In the course of hearing of this anticipatory bail petition, the case diary was called for and the same has been received and available on the record for perusal of this Court. Heard, Amit Kumar Learned Advocate for the petitioners and Sri Bipin Roy, Learned A.P.P. for the State. This Complaint, P.S. case no. 887 of 2025 dated 27.06.2025, has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections- 316(2), 319(2), 318(4), 308(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. against the above named accused-petitioners. As per the prosecution

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IX, BEGUSARAI.**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-803 of 2026****Arising Out of Complaint, P.S. case no. 887 of 2025****Presiding Officer- GAURAV ANAND****Praveen Kumar @ Dablu @ Pravin Kumar & other****Petitioners****Vs.****The State of Bihar****opposite party.****Contd....
15.06.2026**

case, in brief, is that on December 2024 accused persons came to the house of complainant and requested to sell the land which is situated in Mauza Singhaul, Touzi No. 822, Thana No. 373, Jamabandi No. 720, Khata No. 209 Khesra No. 1034, pergana Malki, Area 11 Katha at the rate of Rs. 8 Lakh per Katha, then they became ready to sell the above land. The above mentioned land was purchased by the material grand mother of complainant namely Sona Devi W/O- Baldev Mahto of which 11 Katha 10 dhurs land was allotted to mother and Aunt (Mausi) of complainant. Both the petitioners send Rs. 10 Lakh each as advance through Ram Das Paswan (husaband of accused No. 4 namely Bina Devi) in the account of witness No. 1 and 2 through R.T.G.S., thereafter petitioners came to house of witnesses No. 1 and 2 and prepared 7 sell deed and after reading and fully satisfaction took thumb impression of witness no. 1 and 2. It was later discovered that petitioners had also obtained sell deeds which did not belong to witnesses No. 1 and 2. Hence this case.

The Ld. Advocate for the petitioners have submitted that the instant case has been falsely registered against the petitioners. Further submitted that the petitioners never filed any kind of bail application either before this learned court or before Hon'ble High Court, Patna. It is further submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Further submitted that petitioners has got no criminal antecedent. Hence, prayed to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State opposed the bail petition.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record. Perusal of the case record transpires that this case is based on complaint petition. It further transpires that the allegation attributed in the complaint petition is that two sale deeds were executed by Rupam Devi and Arulva in favour of the accused-petitioner after payment of consideration money but in the sale deed wrong Khata Khesra were entered at the instance of the accused-petitioner. Perusal of the sale deed transpires that the deeds are registered and there is presumption regarding the genuineness of any registered deed and if there is any error in

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IX, BEGUSARAI.

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-803 of 2026

Arising Out of Complaint, P.S. case no. 887 of 2025

Presiding Officer- GAURAV ANAND

Praveen Kumar @ Dablu @ Pravin Kumar & other

Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Bihar

opposite party.

**Contd....
15.06.2026**

mentioning the Khata and Khesra it is purely a matter of civil nature.

Under the fact and circumstances discussed here in above the anticipatory bail petition of petitioners namely, **1. Praveen Kumar @ Dablu @ Pravin Kumar, 2. Prabhat Kumar @ Sonu**, are hereby allowed. The petitioners are directed to be released on anticipatory bail in the even of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to satisfaction of the Court concerned as per the condition laid down u/s 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(The order is dictated, and corrected by me.)

Sd/-

(GAURAV ANAND)

Addl.Sessions Judge.- IX,

Begusarai.- 15.06.2026