

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BEGUSARAI**

**I.A. No. 01/2026**

**S.T. Case No. 1130/2025**

**(Arising out of Ballia P.S. Case no. 272/2025)**

**Piyush Kumar Vrs. The State of Bihar**

**05.08.2026**

Today, bail application dated 15.07.2026 has been pressed on behalf of accused/petitioner namely, Piyush Kumar who is in judicial custody since 14.07.2026 in above-mentioned case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was earlier on bail in the present case. It is submitted that on 03.06.2026, the case was fixed for recording evidence and two witnesses, namely Pinki Devi & Pappu Kumar, had appeared before the Court for their examination. On that date, no pairvi had been made on behalf of the petitioner and on calls, no one appeared on behalf of the accused petitioner to cross-examine the witnesses and, consequently, the bail bonds of the petitioner was cancelled by the Court. Learned counsel further submitted that due to mistake of pairvikar, he did not turn up before the court. Lastly, he contended that he has been in judicial custody since 14.07.2026. Therefore, a prayer has been made for granting bail to the petitioner.

Per contra, learned P.P. I/C for the State opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that despite the appearance of the witnesses on the date fixed for evidence, no one appeared on behalf of the accused to cross-examine the witness, due to which the bail bonds of the petitioner was rightly cancelled by the Court.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, and upon perusal of the case record, it appears that the petitioner was previously on bail. It further appears that on 03.06.2026, the case was fixed for recording evidence and two witnesses, namely Pinki Devi & Pappu Kumar, had appeared before the Court for their examination. On that date, no pairvi had been made on behalf of the petitioner and on calls, no one appeared on behalf of the accused petitioner to cross-examine the witnesses and, consequently, the bail bonds of the petitioner was cancelled by the Court. Thus, prima facie, it appears to be a case of misuse of the privilege of bail. However, this Court also takes into consideration the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2026. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court finds it proper to grant one more opportunity to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed. Let the accused/petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of this court, subject to the deposit of Rs. 3,000/- as witness cost for each witness in Nazarat Civil Court, Begusarai subject to, further conditions:-

1. That one of the bailors must be relative of the accused/petitioner.
2. Petitioner is directed to appear physically on each and every date till the conclusion of trial.

**Office is directed to send a copy of this order through e-mail to the undertrial prisoner/petitioner through the Jail Superintendent, Begusarai.**

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rishi Kant)

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai