

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IX, BEGUSARAI.
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-496 of 2026
Arising Out of Chakia O.P., P.S. case no. 11 of 2026
Presiding Officer- GAURAV ANAND

Babita Devi & others

Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Bihar

opposite party.

Date	Order with signature of the Court
07.04.2026	<p>IN THE MATTER OF :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Babita Devi, s/o- w/o- Lalanjeet Yadav, aged about 44 years, 2. Ranjit Yadav, s/o- Achchelal Yadav, aged about 39 years, 3. Ashok Yadav, s/o- Late Kusho Yadav, aged about 53 years, 5. Ghanshyam Kumar, s/o- Ashok Yadav, aged about 28 years, 6. Lalchu Yadav @ Lanchu Yadav, s/o- Dheneshwar Yadav, aged about 59 years, 8. Doman Yadav @ Manjesh Kumar Yadav, s/o- Late Ramdeo Yadav, aged about 36 years, <p>All r/o- village- Bariyahi, Simaria Ghat P.S.- Chakia, District- Begusarai (Bihar)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">.....Petitioners</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The state of BiharOpposite Party</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>This anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioners 1. Babita Devi, 2. Ranjit Yadav, 3. Ashok Yadav, 4. Ghanshyam Kumar, 5. Lalchu Yadav @ Lanchu Yadav, and 6. Doman Yadav @ Manjesh Kumar Yadav, in connection with Chakia O.P. P.S. case no. 11 of 2026 dated 15.02.2026, pending before the Court of C.J.M., Begusarai. This record has been received by this Court, vide. order dated 18.03.2026 of Ld. District and Sessions Judge, Begusarai, for hearing and disposal. In the course of hearing of this anticipatory bail petition, the case diary was called for and the same has been received and available on the record for perusal of this Court.</p>

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IX, BEGUSARAI.
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-496 of 2026
Arising Out of Chakia O.P., P.S. case no. 11 of 2026
Presiding Officer- GAURAV ANAND

Babita Devi & others

Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Bihar

opposite party.

Contd....
07.04.2026

Heard, Chandra Mohan Kumar, Learned Advocate for the petitioners and Sri Manoj Thakur, Learned A.P.P. for the State. This Chakia O.P. P.S. case no. 11 of 2026 dated 15.02.2026, has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections- 126(2), 115(2), 109, 308(3), 329(4), 352, 351(2) 3, 3(5) of B.N.S against the above named accused-petitioners. The prosecution case is typed application, in brief, is that on 15.02.2026 at about 09:30 AM when the informant was in house all the sudden accused-petitioners were came there with a iron rod, farsa. Pistal and banduk and other arms and demanded 50 lakh as extortion when the informant denied Lalchu Yadav and assaulted on the face of the informant. Bipin Yadav, Indrajeet Yadav, Ashok Yadav, assaulted of Subhash Kumar and Gaurav Kumar on the head. Indu Devi and Babita Devi snatched case and ornament worth rupees one lakh thirty six thousands. Hence, this case.

The Ld. Advocate for the petitioners have submitted that the instant case has been falsely registered against the petitioners. Further submitted that the petitioners never filed any bail application under any provision of law earlier either before this learned court or before Hon'ble High Court Patna. It is further submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Further submitted that the petitioners have got no any criminal history. Hence, prayed to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State opposed the bail petition.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record. Perusal of the written application of the informant transpires that the allegation attributed to these accused-petitioners are that on the alleged date of occurrence all the accused petitioners along with co-accused formed an unlawful assembly and assaulted the informant, his nephew Arvind Kumar, Manjesh Kumar, Subhash Kumar and Gaurav Kumar due to which they sustained injuries. The prosecution case find support from the statement of the witnesses as mentioned in para 2, 5, 6, 7, of the case diary, the injury report as mentioned in para 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 transpires

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IX, BEGUSARAI.

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-496 of 2026

Arising Out of Chakia O.P., P.S. case no. 11 of 2026

Presiding Officer- GAURAV ANAND

Babita Devi & others

Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Bihar

opposite party.

**Contd....
07.04.2026**

that the injured sustained simple injury but the injury of Arvind Kumar is grievous in nature. Thus, from the perusal of the entire material available in the case diary it transpires that there is allegation of assault against the accused-petitioners in which several persons got injured.

In facts and circumstances and considering the seriousness of allegations; this Court finds it not proper to grant the privilege of the anticipatory bail to the accused-petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayers for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioners namely, **1. Babita Devi, 2. Ranjit Yadav, 3. Ashok Yadav, 4. Ghanshyam Kumar, 5. Lalchu Yadav @ Lanchu Yadav, and 6. Doman Yadav @ Manjesh Kumar Yadav,** are hereby **rejected.**

(The order is dictated, and corrected by me.)

Sd/-

(GAURAV ANAND)

Addl.Sessions Judge.- IX,

Begusarai.- 07.04.2026