

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BEGUSARAI

S.Tr. No. 185/2026

I.A. No. 01/2026

**Ref:- Arising out of Lakho P.S. Case No. 91/2025
U/S- 103(1)/3(5), 61(2) of B.N.S. and 27 Arms Act.**

Dt: 13.05.2025

In the matter of :-

**Sonu Kumar, S/o- Ramjatan Singh @ Jatto Singh,
R/o- Vill.- Pansalla, P.S.- Lakho, Distt.- Begusarai**

.....Petitioner

vs

State of Bihar

...Opposite Party

Present:- Rishi Kant

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner: - Smt. Sudha Kumari, Ld. Adv.

Ld. Counsel for the O.P.:- Ld. P.P I/C

Date of order:- 29.04.2026

ORDER

The present bail petition, dated 13.03.2026, has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner who has been in custody since 13.06.2025, in connection with above mentioned case for committing an offence punishable u/s 103(1)/3(5), 61(2) of B.N.S. and 27 Arms Act and the same have been put up for hearing.

Heard Learned Counsel Smt. Sudha Kumari appearing on behalf of accused/ petitioner and Ld. P.P I/C for the State.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of accused/ petitioner submitted that earlier on behalf of the petitioner B.A. No. 1066/2025 was rejected by Ld. D.A.S.J.-X, Begusarai and then Cr.Misc.No. 78258/2025 was also rejected by the Hon'ble Patna High Court, and thereafter no any bail application either A.B.A. or B.A. has been filed in this court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court under any provision of law. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent, has not committed any offence, and has been falsely implicated in the case. It is further submitted that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent, i.e., Lakho P.S. Case No. 98/2025. No any eye witness of this alleged incident and there is no evidence against the petitioner, except the confessional statement given by the petitioner in Lakho P.S. Case No. 98/2025. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. but during investigation his name came out which has no value in the eye of law. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 13.06.2025, having no criminal antecedent, and is ready to abide all the conditions imposed by the court. Therefore, he may be released on bail.

Per contra the Ld. P.P I/C appearing on behalf of State vehemently

opposed the prayer for bail. He submitted that his bail application has already been rejected by the learned D.A.S.J.-X, Begusarai as well as Hon'ble Patna High Court.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. As per F.I.R., the younger brother of the informant was shot murdered by unknown. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and his name surfaced in this case on the basis of police spy during investigation, as mentioned in Para 28 of the case diary. Further, from perusal of seizure list, it appears that one pillet has been recovered from the body of the deceased. Paragraph 59 contains the post-mortem report, which opines that the cause of death was due to neurogenic and haemorrhagic shock as a result of injuries caused by firearm. Furthermore, the bail application of the petitioner has already been rejected on merit by the Ld. D.A.S.J.-X, Begusarai on 19.08.2025 passed in B.A. No. 1066/2025 as well as by the Hon'ble Patna High Court vide order dated 18.11.2025 passed in Cr.Misc. No. 74258/2025, and there is no fresh ground to re-consider his bail application. The allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the gravity of the accusation, and the fact that the trial is in progress, I am of the considered view that this is not a fit case for grant of bail at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail on behalf of the petitioners is rejected.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rishi Kant)

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Date of Judgment/Order	29.04.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	N.A.
Uploading Date	30.04.2026
Uploaded by	Rahul Verma