

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BEGUSARAI

A.B.A. No. 580/2026

Ref:- Arising out of Lohiya Nagar P.S. Case No. 56/2025
U/S- 87 of B.N.S.

Dt: 29.04.2025

In the matter of :-

Md. Sohrab @ Md. Sohrab Alam, R/o vill. Jagarnathpur Malipur, Ward no. 07,
P.S. Gadhpura, Distt. Begusarai **.....Petitioner**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Present:- Rishi Kant

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner:- Md. Irfan, Ld. Adv.

Ld. Counsel for the O.P. :- Ld. P.P. I/c

Date of order:-18.03.2026

ORDER

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner who is apprehending his arrest in connection with above mentioned case for committing of an offence u/s 87 of B.N.S. and the same has been put up for hearing.

Heard Learned Counsel Md. Irfan appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner and Learned P.P. I/C for the State.

The prosecution case in brief is that on 26.04.2025 at about 03:00 A.M; the F.I.R named accused Md. Sohrab kidnapped the informant's sister. Upon searching; the informant got information that eight other F.I.R named accused persons including petitioners were also involved in kidnapping his sister. Mobile no. of Md. Soharab is 8409959957 and mobile no. of the victim is 7670953324.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not filed any bail either anticipatory bail or regular bail before this court or any higher court. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that petitioner is quite innocent, and he has committed no any offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. The accused petitioner was neither present at the spot nor involved in this case by any means. Further the date of occurrence is 26.04.2025, but the F.I.R was lodged on 29.04.2025 which creates doubt. The petitioner is daily wages labourers. All the allegations as alleged are false, fabricated, baseless and concocted. He further submitted that petitioner is ready to abide all the conditions imposed by the court, so petitioner may be released on bail.

On the other hand, the learned P.P. I/C appearing on behalf of the State, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the learned counsels for both sides, and perused the record. As per F.I.R, the allegation against the petitioner is that he along with others kidnapped the informant's sister. There is specific allegation against this petitioner for kidnapping the informant's sister. From perusal of material available on record the victim is minor at the time of alleged date of offence. Furthermore the bail application of other co-accused has been rejected by this court. Moreover the case is still under investigation.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, it is not fit case to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the bail application of the petitioner stands rejected

However, petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned court below and seek regular bail. Upon such surrender, the learned court below shall consider and dispose of the regular bail application on its own merits, in accordance with law, without being prejudiced by any observation made in this order.

(Dictated)

(Rishi Kant)
Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

Date of Judgment/Order	18.03.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	N.A.
Uploading Date	23.03.2026
Uploaded by	Rahul Verma