

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1711 of 2025

Date of filing : 19.12.2025

Date of order : 06.04.2026

-
- 1. Jay Kumar Mandal son of Lt. Basant Mandal, age 50 years*
 - 2. Nebi Mandal son of late Basant Mandal, age 45 years*
 - 3. Dhanik Lal Mandal son of Jay Kumar Mandal, age 35 years*
 - 4. Rupesh Mandal son of Nebi Mandal, age about 35 years*
 - 5. Printo Mandal son of Nebi Mandal, age about 35 years, all resident of village Basbitta, P.S. Dhoraiya, district Banka*
 - 6. Pratibha Devi daughter Nebi Mandal, age 23 years*
 - 7. Pratibha Devi wife of Arun Mandal, age 42 years*
- Both resident of village Disrat, P.S. Sanhoula, district Bhagalpur*

..... Petitioners

-Versus-

State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Present : - Sri Prem Ranjan Dixit, Advocate for the Petitioners
Sri Hira Lal Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State

ORDER

- 1. Apprehending arrest the petitioners have preferred this pre-arrest bail application who are booked in Dhoraiya Police Station Case No. 337 of 2025, for the offence allegedly committed under Sections 191(2), 115(2), 126(2), 117(2), 110, 352, 351(2) of BNS.*
- 2. The case of prosecution is based upon a gravamen filed by one Janki Mandal with the allegations that on 11.12.2025, at about 01:00 PM, he was sowing wheat in his land, 1. Jaikumar Mandal, 2. Nebi Mandal, 3. Dhaniklal Mandal, 4. Rupesh Mandal, 5. Prito Mandal, 6. Pratima Devi, 7. husband of Pratibha Devi, they all came and started abusing and tried to restrain him from sowing wheat. When he opposed, they all fenced him and assaulted with blackjack, stick, fist and legs. When his son Pramod Mandal came to rescue, accused Jaikumar Mandal assaulted on his head with iron Khanti, resultantly his head injured. Prito Mandal assaulted Pramod Mandal with Dabiya, resultantly his right hand became injured and blood started oozing and he fell on the earth. When Jitendra Kumar Mandal came there, then Rupesh Mandal assaulted him with Sawal, resultantly his right hand was broken and Dhanik Lal Mandal*

assaulted him with blackjack, resultantly blood started oozing.

3. *In oral arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that there is no injury report corroborated with the allegations. Attention is drawn on the injury reports which are opined as simple in nature. It is further argued that both parties have arrived at compromise.*

4. *In counter, learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application.*

5. *Heard submissions and perused the record. As per submissions of the learned counsel for petitioner this squabble took place because of land dispute and now the parties have settled their dispute. Further, the injury report shows no grievous injury, therefore, keeping in view the above, this court is inclined to grant the petitioners privilege of pre-arrest bail; resultantly, this pre-arrest bail application is allowed. It is, therefore, ordered that upon arrest or surrender of the petitioners within fortnight of receiving the instant order the petitioners be admitted to anticipatory bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of trial court subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. Out of the two sureties, one will be of the same village or close relative of petitioners.*

6. *File be consigned to the record room and that of trial court be sent back with a copy of this order.*

7. *Keeping in view the General Order no. 1 of 1991 (Criminal) dated 07.03.1991, the certified copy of FIR, if desired, be returned back to the petitioners on placing the xerox copy thereof on record.*

(Dictated)

*(Satya Bhushan Arya)
Sessions Judge, Banka
06.04.2026*