

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA**

*Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1658 of 2025*

*Date of filing : 08.12.2025*

*Date of order : 06.04.2026*

---

*Mira Kumari, aged about 50 years, wife of Sahdeo Prasad Gupta, resident of Mohalla Lal Kothi, police station Tatarpur, district Bhagalpur, Panchayat Technical Assistant Gram Panchayat, Chilmil*

*..... Petitioner*

*-Versus-*

*State of Bihar*

*.....Opposite Party*

---

*Present : - Sri Anand Deo Choudhary, Advocate for the Petitioner  
Sri Hira Lal Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State*

---

**ORDER**

1. *Apprehending arrest the petitioner has preferred this pre-arrest bail application who is booked in Banka (Barahat) Police Station Case No. 126 of 2014, for the offence allegedly committed under Sections 406, 409, 420, 34 of IPC.*

2. *The case of prosecution is engendered upon an application filed by one Vijay Kumar Sinha, Program Officer, Barahat, along with inquiry report, according to which 1. Shashi Kumar Mehta, Panchayat Rojgar Sevak, 2. Soraiya, Mukhiya, 3. Md. Asrar (Mukhiya Pati), 4. Meera Kumari, Panchayat Technical Assistant, 5. Gauri Shankar Prasad, 6. Balram Yadav, Met, 7. Sri Narayan Singh, 8. Sri Bangali Choubey, 9. Bijay Singh and 10. Rantu Paswan embezzled the amount allotted for different programs under MANREGA.*

3. *In oral arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is nothing but Technical Assistant and she has nothing to do with the alleged transaction.*

4. *In counter, learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application.*

5. *Heard rival submissions and perused the record. The accused/petitioner was Panchayat Technical Assistant at the time of inquiry report submitted by the Executive Engineer (MANREGA), D.R.D.A. Banka and District Transport Officer, Banka and she was specifically named in this inquiry. Even after that she neither bothered to appear before the court nor took part in the investigation. The case is of the year 2014 and now, in the year 2025 she woke up with submissions that she has apprehension of arrest, therefore, this court finds no force in her submissions; resultantly, this pre-arrest bail application is dismissed.*

6. *File be consigned to the record room and that of trial court be sent back with a copy of this order.*

7. *Keeping in view the General Order no. 1 of 1991 (Criminal) dated 07.03.1991, the certified copy of FIR, if desired, be returned back to the petitioners on placing the xerox copy thereof on record.*

*(Dictated)*

*(Satya Bhushan Arya)  
Sessions Judge, Banka  
06.04.2026*