

Reg. Sum. Suit No. 93/2026
Nitin Vs. Srisukta Services LLP and Ors.
Order below substitute Service of summons application
(Passed on 31.07.2026)

1. Plaintiff stated that he has tried his level best to effect due service of summons on defendant through bailiff mode as well as speed post mode. However, whereabouts of some defendants were not traceable. Hence, requested for substitute service of summons. Record depicts that plaintiff has tried to service the summons to the defendant through bailiff mode as well as speed post mode.
2. Plaintiff has placed on record 3 track reports. It appear that in absence of substitute service of mode, service is not possible. Hence, it is desirable to allow to plaintiff to effect substitute service of summons by way of pesting of summons on the conspicuous place of defendant's house. Further, plaintiff is directed issue paper publication in daily newspaper circulated within the vicinity of the defendant on the expenses of plaintiff as per Order 5 Rule 20 of the CPC. Hence, this order.

Date : 31.07.2026

(J.G.Pawar)
12th Jt. Civil Judge (S.D.) Pune