

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I-CUM- Spl. Judge
S.C/S.T (P.O.A) Act, BANKA
A.B.P. Case no. 642 of 2026
CIS Reg. No.- 642/2026

In the matter of
Pramhansh Kumar Das.....Accused/Petitioner,
versus
State of Bihar.....Opposite Party

*F.I.R- Rajoun P.S. case no.-394/2021,
Offence u/s- 341, 448, 376, 511, 379, 504, 506/34 of IPC,
For Petitioners- Sri Rajeev Kumar Yadav, Learned Advocate, Civil Court, Banka
For the state- Sri Subodh Kumar Mishra, Learned A.P.P., Banka*

*Present- Kumar Amit Manu
A.S.J-I-cum-Spl. Judge
S.C/S.T. Act Banka*

09.06.2026 This anticipatory bail petition has been filed under section 438 of Cr.P.C. on behalf of accused person namely **Pramhansh Kumar Das @ Pramhansh Kumar**, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Rajoun P.S. case no.- 394/2021, under section 341, 448, 376, 511, 379, 504, 506/34 of IPC,

According to FIR, the prosecution case, in short is that on 12.10.2021 at about 02:00 Am accused Parhansh Kumar Das entered into the house of informant and after removing her clothes tried to rape her, when the informant raised hue and cry then accused fled away from there. After that when the victim approached the house of the accused to register her complaint regarding attempt of rape by the accused Paramhansh then accused persons Satya Narayan Das and Rajhansh Kumar abused her and again on 13.10.2021 they abused and assaulted her.

Heard learned counsel Sri Rajeev Kumar Yadav appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned A.P.P. Sri Subodh Kumar Mishra appearing on behalf of the state.

The learned counsel of the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and petitioner is falsely been implicated in this case and all the allegation as alleged against him are false and baseless. It is further stated that there is no specific allegation of section 376/511 of IPC, as the petitioner was not present at the time of occurrence. So far as section 379 IPC is concerned, that is not applicable against this petitioner, rather the allegation is against co-accused Rajhansh Kumar.

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I-CUM- Spl. Judge
S.C/S.T (P.O.A) Act, BANKA
A.B.P. Case no. 642 of 2026
CIS Reg. No.- 642/2026

In the matter of

Pramhansh Kumar Das.....Accused/Petitioner,

versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party

continue..

09.06.2026 Other co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail vide A.B.P. case no.- 1033/25 and the case of this petitioner is also on same footing. The petitioner is a man of means and there is no chance of his absconding, if released on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, it has been prayed to allow the said anticipatory bail petition.

On the other hand, The learned A.P.P. for the state has vehemently opposes the prayer for bail.

Heard both the parties and perused the case diary. From perusal of case record, it transpires that there is specific allegation of attempt to rape on victim against this accused petitioner. The informant in her re-statement vide para 02 of case diary and other witnesses at para 06 and 07 of case diary have supported the occurrence. The victim in her statement u/s 164 Cr.P.C has also supported the occurrence. The accused petitioner has three criminal antecedent as per report of I.O. dated 05.06.2026. Investigation is still in progress.

Considering the material available on the record, seriousness of the offence, criminal antecedent of the accused petitioner, at this stage I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail. Hence, this anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner namely **Pramhansh Kumar Das @ Pramhansh Kumar** stands rejected.

Sd/-

(Kumar Amit Manu)

A.S.J.-I-cum-Spl. Judge

S.C./ S.T. (P.O.A) Act ,Banka

Date-09.06.2026

Memo No..... Dated.....

Office of .A.S.J-I, Banka

Copy Forwarded to the Ld. Court of C.J.M, Banka,
for kind information and needful.

A.S.J.-I
Banka