

IN THE COURT OF SATYA BHUSHAN ARYA, SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA

Piyush Kumar Vs. State

Bail Petition No. 227 of 2026

Date of filing : 02.04.2026

Date of order : 23.04.2026

Piyush Kumar son of Binay Yadav, aged about 24 years, resident of village-Katchatar, P.S.-Rajoun, District-Banka

..... *Petitioner*

-Versus-

State of Bihar

..... *Opposite Party*

Present :- Sri Vijay Kumar, Advocate for the Petitioner
Sri Hira Lal Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State

ORDER

1. Yearning for an order of emancipation the bail petition has been filed by the petitioner, who is booked in Panjwara Police Station Case No. 67 of 2025, for the offence allegedly committed under Sections 309(6) of BNS and is confined in jail since 22.08.2025.

2. The case of prosecution is engendered upon an application filed by one Babulal Sah with the allegations that on 24.05.2025, at about 09:00 am, he was going to open his jewelry shop in Lakhpura village. At about 09:10 am, near Lodhiya ahead of Bikrampur three unknown miscreants riding on a black color pulsar motorcycle intercepted his scooty, at that time, he was having 5 to 6 pieces jewelry valued at approximately Rs. 30,000/- to 35,000/-. They tried to mug the jewelry. When he opposed, three persons alighted from the motorcycle and started beating him. Out of three, one was having knife in his hand he assaulted on his stomach, mouth, and hand, and snatched the jewelry. Out of three, two were wearing helmets and black tee shirts. All the three were speaking local language and he was identified.

3. In oral arguments, the learned counsel for petitioner submitted that he has falsely been implicated in this case whereas he is confined in jail since 22.08.2025 for no offence. It is submitted that the case in hand is against unknown persons and the present petitioner is not named in the FIR. It is submitted that nothing has been recovered from his conscious possession and he has not been identified by

IN THE COURT OF SATYA BHUSHAN ARYA, SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA

Piyush Kumar Vs. State

Bail Petition No. 227 of 2026

Date of filing : 02.04.2026

Date of order : 23.04.2026

anyone in T.I. parade.

4. *In counter, learned Public Prosecutor for the State stoutly opposed the bail application on the ground that the accused is having a criminal antecedent i.e. Panjwara Police Station Case No. 84 of 2025, u/s 313 of BNS and 25(1-b), 26, 35 of the Arms Act.*

5. *Heard rival submissions and perused the record. The record reveals that the accused/petitioner is not named in this case nor he has been identified in T.I. parade. Further, charge-sheet has been filed and cognizance has been taken, and the evidence has been started in this case. Therefore, in the opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose is going to be served by detaining the accused anymore in custody, resultantly this bail application is allowed on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of trial court. Out of the two sureties, one will be of the same village or close relative of petitioner. The accused will file an undertaking that he shall personally appear on each and every date of hearing and in case of his third default, his bail will be canceled and that in future he shall not indulge in offence of similar nature.*

6. *File be consigned to the record room and that of trial court be sent back with a copy of this order.*

(Dictated)

(Satya Bhushan Arya)
Sessions Judge, Banka