

## **IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 470 of 2026

Date of filing : 02.04.2026

Date of order : 16.05.2026

---

1. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, age 45 years, son of Shaligram Prasad Singh  
2. Kiran Devi @ Sudha Devi, age 41 years, daughter of Shaligram Prasad Singh  
3. Manju Devi wife of Awadhesh Kumar Singh, age 40 years  
All resident of village Islampur, p.s. Rajoun, district Banka

..... Petitioners

-Versus-

State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

---

Present :- Sri Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate for the Petitioners  
Sri Hira Lal Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State  
Sri Ram Kishore Yadav, Advocate for the informant

### **ORDER**

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the accused-petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Rajoun Police Station Case No. 93 of 2026, corresponding to G.R. No. 906/2026, under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 110, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS.

The present case has been instituted on the basis of written report given by one Ranju Devi alleging therein that on 10.03.2026, at 9-pm, she along with her husband Mukesh Mahto went to parental home because on 09.03.2026, her father Shaligram Prasad Singh and mother Neelam Devi were beaten and ousted of the house by her brother Awadhesh Kumar Singh and his wife Manju Devi. When she and her husband intervened in the matter, then 1. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, 2. Kiran Devi @ Sudha Devi, 3. Manju Devi abused and assaulted them by Lathi, iron rod. During the assault, Awadhesh Kumar Singh gave repeated blow of iron rod on the head of her husband. When she came to rescue, she too was assaulted by Kiran Devi @ Sudha Devi and Manju Devi by Lathi and stick. When her elder sister Kanchan Devi and her parents came to rescue, they too were assaulted. Meanwhile, Manju Devi snatched gold chain from her neck. Upon hulla, the villagers came and saved them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are quite innocent and they have committed no offence. Petitioners have

been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that all the sections are bailable in nature except Sections 303(2) and 110 of BNS, which have been added only with a view to make the case serious. It is further prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the informant jointly opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners on the ground that the petitioners in collusion with each other gave repeated blow on the head of victim.

Heard both sides and perused the case diary. The injury report, vide para no. 36 of case diary, reveals that there is multiple injury on head, which shows mental state of the accused.

In view of aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that there is repeated blow causing multiple injury on vital part of the body, this court is not inclined to grant the petitioners privilege of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is rejected.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Kumar Amit Manu)  
I/c Sessions Judge, Banka  
16.05.2026

Memo No..... Banka, Dated, the 16<sup>th</sup> May, 2026.

Copy forwarded to the learned CJM, Banka for information and needful.

Administration In-charge  
Civil Court Banka