

IN THE COURT OF SATYA BHUSHAN ARYA, SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA

Uma Devi & Ors. Vs. State

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 316 of 2026

Date of filing : 27.02.2026

Date of order : 23.04.2026

1. *Uma Devi wife of Deo Narayan Das, aged about 42 years*
2. *Amarica Devi @ America Devi wife of Gujjar Das, aged about 35 years*
3. *Chinta Devi wife of Saryug Das, aged about 35 years*
4. *Raju Das @ Rajesh Das son of Gobardhan Das, aged about 27 years*
All residents of village-Narayanpur, P.S.-Jaypur, District-Banka
..... Petitioners
-Versus-
State of BiharOpposite Party

Present : - *Sri Ram Kishore Yadav, Advocate for the Petitioners*
Sri Hira Lal Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State

ORDER

1. *Apprehending arrest the petitioners have preferred this pre-arrest bail application who are booked in Jaipur Police Station Case No. 63 of 2020, for the offence allegedly committed under Sections 341, 323, 325, 307, 504, 506/34 of IPC.*
2. *In the nutshell, the case of prosecution is based upon a gravamen filed by one Anita Devi with the allegations that on 04.10.2020, at about 07:00 am, she was going to take milk. When she reached in front of the house of Dinbandhu Das, then Dinbandhu Das started abusing and when she refused to do so, he started her beating. Upon yelling, her husband came and tried to save her, upon which (ii). Kantu Das, (iii). Saryug Das came and assaulted him with rod, resultantly his head ruptured. Kantu Das took 10,800/- from the pocket of her husband. Dinbandhu Das dragged her on the earth with intent to outrage her modesty and took silver chain from her neck. Upon her yelling, (iv). Raju Das, (v). Uma Devi, (vi). America Devi, (vii) also came and started pelting stones and bricks.*
3. *In oral arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that they have falsely been implicated in this case whereas they have nothing to do with the alleged crime. It is argued that co-accused Fantush Das and Dinbandhu Das have been granted bail by the learned predecessor of this Court. It is argued that during course of investigation they have not been sent up for trial but the learned*

IN THE COURT OF SATYA BHUSHAN ARYA, SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA

Uma Devi & Ors. Vs. State

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 316 of 2026

Date of filing : 27.02.2026

Date of order : 23.04.2026

trial court took cognizance against them.

4. *In counter, learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application.*

5. *Heard submissions and perused the record. Keeping in view the above that the co-accused Fantush Das and Dinbandhu Das have been granted bail by the learned predecessor of this Court and further, the accused were earlier not sent up for trial by the police in investigation. In the opinion of this Court, no custodial interrogation is required, therefore this Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail; resultantly, this pre-arrest bail application is allowed. It is, therefore, ordered that upon arrest or surrender of the petitioners within fortnight of receiving the instant order the petitioners be admitted to anticipatory bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of trial court subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. Out of the two sureties, one will be of the same village or close relative of petitioners.*

6. *File be consigned to the record room and that of trial court be sent back with a copy of this order.*

7. *Keeping in view the General Order no. 1 of 1991 (Criminal) dated 07.03.1991, the certified copy of FIR, if desired, be returned back to the petitioners on placing the xerox copy thereof on record.*

(Dictated)

(Satya Bhushan Arya)
Sessions Judge, Banka