

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA

Bail Petition No. 157 of 2026

Date of filing : 25.02.2026

Date of order : 18.03.2026

*Umesh Pujba, aged 50 years, son of Tentu Pujhai, resident of village-Raksa, P.S.-
Rikbia(Mohanpur), District-Deoghar*

..... Petitioner

-Versus-

State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

*Present :- Sri Balram Yadav, Advocate for the Petitioner
Sri Hira Lal Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State*

ORDER

- 1. Yearning for an order of emancipation the petition has been filed by the petitioners, who are booked in Katoriya Police Station Case No. 267 of 2015, for the offence allegedly committed under Section 392 of IPC and is confined in jail since 08.07.2024.*
- 2. The case of prosecution is based upon an application filed by one Mahbub Ansari, with the allegations that on 08.11.2015, he had gone to purchase some articles for constructing his house. When he was returning along with the said articles and he reached near Kurwavarán Jangal, suddenly four persons came there. One of them gave a blow of blackjack on his left wrist, resultantly he stopped the vehicle. Thereafter, another person shot him with a pistol and they mugged Rs. 43000/- and 170 Saudi Rials and one Nokiya Mobile phone. Out of the accused persons, he identified Mumtaj Ansari and Sagir Ansari.*
- 3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in his oral arguments submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and he is confine in jail since 08.07.2024, i.e. more than two year.*
- 4. In counter, learned public prosecutor stoutly opposed the bail application on the ground that the accused having six criminal antecedents.*
- 5. Heard rival submissions and perused the record. The record reveals that*

the accused has not been named in the FIR. He has been arrested on the basis of a confessional statement. Charge-sheet in this case has been submitted. On 01.10.2024 cognizance was taken and after one year thereof on 28.11.2025 charges were framed. Since then the case is pending for prosecution evidence and the prosecution has not examined even a single witness so far despite availing a number of effective adjournments. No doubt there are 6 more criminal cases against the present petitioner, but only for this reason, he can not be left in jail for indefinite period; resultantly, the petitioner is ordered to be emancipated on his furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of trial court. Out of the two sureties, one will be of the same village or close relative of petitioner. The petitioner will file an undertaking that he shall personally appear on each and every date of hearing, and for his third default in total, his bail will be cancelled.

6. *File be consigned to the record room and that of trial court be sent back with a copy of this order.*

7. *Keeping in view the General Order no. 1 of 1991 (Criminal) dated 07.03.1991, the certified copy of FIR, if desired, be returned back to the petitioner on placing the xerox copy thereof on record.*

(Dictated)

*(Satya Bhushan Arya)
Sessions Judge, Banka
18.03.2026*