

IN THE COURT OF SATYA BHUSHAN ARYA, SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 251 of 2026

Date of filing : 13.02.2026

Date of order : 25.04.2026

*Ranveer Kumar Singh son of late Radhey Prasad Singh, aged about 55 years,
resident of village-Maldevchak, P.S.-Amarpur, District-Banka*

..... Petitioner

-Versus-

State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

And

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 257 of 2026

Date of filing : 13.02.2026

Date of order : 25.04.2026

*Ranjit Kumar Singh son of late Radhey Prasad Singh, aged about 55 years,
resident of village-Maldevchak, P.S.-Amarpur, District-Banka*

..... Petitioner

-Versus-

State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

*Present : - Sri Subodh Kumar Mishra, Advocate for the Petitioners
Sri Hira Lal Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State
Sri Radha Raman Singh, Advocate for the informant*

ORDER

- 1. Apprehending arrest the petitioners have preferred this pre-arrest bail application who are booked in Amarpur Police Station Case No. 27 of 2026, for the offence allegedly committed under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 3/5 of BNS.*
- 2. Since both the pre-arrest bail applications have arisen out of same case, both are taken together and being disposed of by a common order.*
- 3. In the nutshell, the case of prosecution is engendered upon an application filed by one Shравan Kumar Singh with the allegations that on 14.01.2026, at about 03:30 pm, he went to see the maize crop. At that time, (i). Ranjit Kumar, (ii). Ranvir Kumar Singh came there and started threatening to settled case no. 726/24, dated 03.11.2024. When he refused to do so, then Ranjit Kumar Singh*

having iron rod gave a blow on his head, resultantly it ruptured and blood started oozing. Ranvir Kumar Singh also assaulted with blackjack, resultantly he became blood wet. When his sons came there, they took him to the hospital.

4. *In oral arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that they have falsely been implicated in this case whereas they have no connection of any kind with alleged crime.*

5. *In counter, learned Public Prosecutor for the State, assisted by learned counsel for the informant opposed the pre-arrest bail application and drew attention of this court on injury report of Shraavan Kumar Singh, wherein it is reported that he sustained lacerated wound of size 3"x ½" x ½" over parietal region of the head.*

6. *Heard submissions and perused the record. The supplementary injury report of Shraavan Kumar Singh, vide para no. 37 of the case diary, reveals that he sustained fracture of frontal bone. Keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations and nature of injury, this court is not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners, resultantly these pre-arrest bail applications are dismissed.*

7. *File be consigned to the record room and that of trial court be sent back with a copy of this order.*

8. *Keeping in view the General Order no. 1 of 1991 (Criminal) dated 07.03.1991, the certified copy of FIR, if desired, be returned back to the petitioners on placing the xerox copy thereof on record.*

(Dictated)

(Satya Bhushan Arya)
Sessions Judge, Banka