

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA**

*Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 228 of 2026*

*Date of filing : 11.02.2026*

*Date of order : 09.04.2026*

---

*Manoj Yadav, age 34 years, son of Muneshwar Yadav, resident of village Kushidol, P.S. Fullidumar, district Banka*

*..... Petitioner*

*-Versus-*

*State of Bihar*

*.....Opposite Party*

---

*Present : - Sri Amrit Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the Petitioner  
Sri Hira Lal Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State*

---

**ORDER**

1. *Apprehending arrest the petitioner has preferred this pre-arrest bail application who is booked in Amarapur (Fullidumar) Police Station Case No. 430 of 2025, for the offence allegedly committed under Sections 308(3), 308(4), 351(2), 351(3) of BNS.*

2. *In the nutshell, the case of prosecution is based upon a gravamen filed by one Rajhans Kumar Tanti with the allegations that on 06.06.2025, at 20:28 Hours, he received a call from mobile no. 8341219833 for extortion of Rs. 5-5 lakhs from the informant and Babulal Yadav.*

3. *In oral arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is made accused in this case on the basis of mobile number as alleged in the FIR and the petitioner has been dragged into this case for land dispute, which is clear from the FIR itself. It is further submitted that co-accused Manni Yadav has been granted pre-arrest bail by this very court vide order dated 20.08.2025, passed in ABP No. 1014 of 2025 and the case of the present petitioner is on similar footing.*

4. *In counter, learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submitted that the alleged mobile number*

*belongs to the petitioner, however it is indubitable fact that there is land dispute inter se parties.*

5. *Heard rival submissions and perused the record. The FIR itself speaks that there is land dispute inter se parties and the possibility of false implication in this case can not be ruled out at this stage. Further, the record reveals that co-accused Manni Yadav has been granted pre-arrest bail by this very court vide order dated 20.08.2025, passed in ABP No. 1014 of 2025 and the case of the present petitioner is on similar footing. Keeping in view the law of parity, this court is inclined to grant the privilege of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner; resultantly, this pre-arrest bail application is allowed. It is, therefore, ordered that upon arrest or surrender of the petitioner within fortnight of receiving the instant order the petitioner be admitted to anticipatory bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of trial court subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. Out of the two sureties, one will be of the same village or close relative of petitioner.*

6. *File be consigned to the record room and that of trial court be sent back with a copy of this order.*

7. *Keeping in view the General Order no. 1 of 1991 (Criminal) dated 07.03.1991, the certified copy of FIR, if desired, be returned back to the petitioners on placing the xerox copy thereof on record.*

*(Dictated)*

*Sd/-*

*(Satya Bhushan Arya)*

*Sessions Judge, Banka*

*09.04.2026*

*Memo No..... Banka, Dated, the 9<sup>th</sup> April, 2026.*

*Copy forwarded to learned CJM, Banka for information and needful.*

*Administration In-charge*

*Civil Court, Banka*