

**IN THE COURT OF SATYA BHUSHAN ARYA, SESSIONS JUDGE,  
BANKA**

*Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 187 of 2026*

*Date of filing : 02.02.2026*

*Date of order : 10.04.2026*

---

*1. Anil Singh son of late Ramroop Singh, aged about 50 years*  
*2. Surendra Singh son of late Ramroop Singh, aged about 55 years*  
*Both resident of village Nanan Pair, police station Dhoraiya, district Banka*  
*..... Petitioners*

*-Versus-*

*State of Bihar* ..... *Opposite Party*

---

*Present : - Sri Ram Kishore Yadav, Advocate for the Petitioners*  
*Sri Hira Lal Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State*

---

**ORDER**

*1. Apprehending arrest the petitioners have preferred this pre-arrest bail application who are booked in Dhoraiya Police Station Case No. 270 of 2025, for the offence allegedly committed under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 74, 79, 3/5 of BNS.*

*2. The case of prosecution is engendered upon an application filed by one Anil Yadav with the allegations that on 29.09.2025, at about 06:30 PM, he was coming back home from Gaura Chak but, in his way, he was intercepted by 1. Santosh Singh, 2. Anil Singh, 3. Surendra Singh near Middle School, Nanan Pair and started abusing. When he refused to do so, they attacked him with blackjack, stick, leg and fist. Upon yelling, when his daughter Komal Kumari and his nephew Nitish Kumar came to rescue, they were also assaulted by them with blackjack and stick. During the attack, Santosh Singh gave a blow of iron rod on his head, resultantly blood started oozing and he fell on the earth becoming unconscious. Anil Singh assaulted Komal Kumari and Nitish Kumar with leg and fist.*

*3. In oral arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case for trivial squabble. Attention is drawn on the injury report vide para no. 66 of the case diary, according to which both the injuries are simple.*

*4. In counter, learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the pre-arrest*

**IN THE COURT OF SATYA BHUSHAN ARYA, SESSIONS JUDGE,  
BANKA**

*bail application.*

5. *Heard submissions and perused the record. Keeping in view the nature of injury and nature of allegation, this court finds this case not fit for custodial interrogation; resultantly, this pre-arrest bail application is allowed. It is, therefore, ordered that upon arrest or surrender of the petitioner within fortnight of receiving the instant order the petitioner be admitted to anticipatory bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of trial court subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. Out of the two sureties, one will be of the same village or close relative of petitioner.*

6. *File be consigned to the record room and that of trial court be sent back with a copy of this order.*

7. *Keeping in view the General Order no. 1 of 1991 (Criminal) dated 07.03.1991, the certified copy of FIR, if desired, be returned back to the petitioners on placing the xerox copy thereof on record.*

*(Dictated)*

*(Satya Bhushan Arya)  
Sessions Judge, Banka  
10.04.2026*