

IN THE COURT OF SATYA BHUSHAN ARYA, SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA

Pappu Kapri & Anr. Vs. State

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 324 of 2026

Date of filing : 28.02.2026

Date of order : 16.04.2026

1. Pappu Kapri son of Sudin Kapri, aged about 47 years

2. Savita Devi wife of Pappu Kapri, aged about 41 years

Both residents of village-Parariya, P.S.-Banka, District-Banka

..... Petitioners

-Versus-

State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Present : - Sri Dhananjay Prasad Singh, Advocate for the Petitioners

Sri Hira Lal Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State

ORDER

1. Apprehending arrest the petitioners have preferred this pre-arrest bail application who are booked in Banka Police Station Case No. 56 of 2026, for the offence allegedly committed under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 76, 117(2), 303(2), 329(4), 352, 351(2), 3/5 of BNS.

2. The case of prosecution is based upon a gravamen filed by one Fantush Kapri with the allegations that on 25.01.2026, at about 07:30 am, he was at home, then (i). Pappu Kapri, (ii). Savita Devi, (iii). Prince Kumar, assaulted with deadly weapons came there and started abusing. When he refused to do so, Pappu Kapri assaulted on the head with iron rod with intent to kill, resultantly his head ruptured and blood started oozing. Prince Kumar gave a blow of blackjack which inflicted injury on his right hand and right hand was broken. When his wife Urmila Kumari came to rescue, she was molested and dragged by Pappu Choudhary. He gave a blow of iron rod and Savita Devi snatched gold chain from her neck and threatened to kill her. All of them broke the wall of washroom, wherein his wife was taking bath, who became half necked.

3. In oral arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that they have falsely been implicated in this case. There is no injury of any kind corroborated with the allegations.

IN THE COURT OF SATYA BHUSHAN ARYA, SESSIONS JUDGE, BANKA

Pappu Kapri & Anr. Vs. State

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 324 of 2026

Date of filing : 28.02.2026

Date of order : 16.04.2026

4. *In counter, learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application.*

5. *Heard submissions and perused the record. In para no. 33 of the case diary there is injury report, which is opined simple in nature by the concerned doctor. Without more commenting on the merits of this case, keeping in view the nature of allegations and injury report, this court is inclined to grant the petitioners privilege of pre-arrest bail; resultantly, this pre-arrest bail application is allowed. It is, therefore, ordered that upon arrest or surrender of the petitioners within fortnight of receiving the instant order the petitioners be admitted to anticipatory bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of trial court subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. Out of the two sureties, one will be of the same village or close relative of petitioners.*

6. *File be consigned to the record room and that of trial court be sent back with a copy of this order.*

7. *Keeping in view the General Order no. 1 of 1991 (Criminal) dated 07.03.1991, the certified copy of FIR, if desired, be returned back to the petitioners on placing the xerox copy thereof on record.*

(Dictated)

(Satya Bhushan Arya)
Sessions Judge, Banka