

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.1759/2026

Arising out of Barun P.S. Case No.254/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

-
1. Vibha Devi, age 24 years, W/o Vinod Pandey
 2. Vinod Pandey, age 26 years, S/o Lalit Pandey
Both are R/o Paharpur, P.S-barun, Aurangabad, Bihar
 3. Jitesh Kumar @ Golu, age 26 years, S/o Late Kedar Singh
R/o-Vill-Ismilpur Dumra, P.S-Koch, Gayaji, Bihar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party(s)

Appearance :

For the Petitioner(s) : Sri Shyam Sundar Sharma Ld.Adv.

For the State : Sri Ajay Kumar Ld.P.P

18.08.2026

Apprehending their arrest in connection with Barun P.S. Case No.254/2026 instituted for the offences u/s 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 109, 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioners have moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the ld. P.P. for the State.

The briefly stated prosecution story is that on dated 24.06.2026 at about 08:30 AM, Vinod Pandey had assaulted the informant by iron rod, causing head and hand injury. It is also alleged against Vibha Devi that she had assaulted the wife of informant by fist. It is further alleged that Jitesh Kumar @ Golu had threatened the informant to kill him, if he file a case against the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent. Petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further stated that there is a land dispute going on between the parties. There is a counter FIR vide Barun P.S Case no.255/2026. Petitioners are having no criminal antecedent. It is therefore prayed that the petitioner be enlarged on anticipatory

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.1759/2026

Arising out of Barun P.S. Case No.254/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

bail.

On the other hand, learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned P.P. for the State.

There is specific allegation against Petitioner no.2 named as Vinod Pandey that he had caused head injury to Vikas Pandey (who is own brother of petitioner no.2) by iron sambal. It is further alleged that petitioner no.1 named as Vibha Devi had beaten to the wife of informant by hand and fist. It is further alleged against petitioner no.3 that he had threatened to the informant on mobile. There is a counter FIR vide Barun P.S Case no.255/2026. From perusal of injury report, the injury is found to be simple in nature. It is mentioned at para 3 of the anticipatory bail petition that petitioners are having no criminal antecedent.

Considering the aforesaid observations, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners is hereby **allowed**. It is directed to release the above-named petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each subject to the satisfaction of the learned court below and the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Aurangabad (Bihar)