

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1st-CUM- SPECIAL
JUDGE (SC/ST, NDPS & CHILDREN ACT)
AURANGABAD (BIHAR)

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1708 OF 2026

ARISING OUT OF MAHILA PS CASE NO: 14 OF 2026

Rajeev Singh @ Sagar Singh, aged about 26 years, S/o-Jitendra Singh, R/o -Vishnu Nagar, Nala Road, Araa, PS-Nawada District-Bhojpur(Bihar)

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

.... Prosecution

Appearance:

Learned Counsel for the accused-petitioner - Shri Manoj Kumar Tiwary, Ld. Advocate
Learned Counsel for the State -Shri Ram Naresh Prasad, Ld. APP

Order with signature of the Court

<u>Dated</u> <u>16.07.2026</u>	The anticipatory bail preferred under Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 on behalf of the accused-petitioner namely Rajeev Singh @ Sagar Singh who apprehends his arrest in connection with Mahila PS Case No. 14/2026 under Sections 64, 126(2),115(2),85,351(2),351(3),3(5) of the BNS against the accused persons including the petitioner has been pressed today. A copy of the petition has already been supplied to the Learned Add. Public prosecutor. The Case diary has already been received. Upon being pressed, the matter is heard. The informant is present in the court along with her attendance. Heard, the Learned Advocate for the petitioner and the Learned Addl. P.P. for the State. The prosecution story in brief is that the marriage of informant-Ritika Singh was fixed with the accused-petitioner Rajveer Singh @ Sagar Singh. The informant and petitioner lived in Delhi at the time of the marriage. After the wedding date was fixed, Rajveer Singh alias Sagar Singh became close to the informant they started going out together. In November 2025, the petitioner lured her and took her to a hotel named Dev Moti Palace in Patna and under the influence of alcohol, he raped her(informant). Meanwhile, the petitioner's parents Jitendra Kumar Singh and Nisha Devi, demanded two lakh rupees as wedding expenses. On January 29, 2026, they provided two lakh rupees (one lakh rupees through State Bank of India cheque no. 00028) and one lakh rupees through UPI, totaling two lakh rupees. Again, the petitioner took the informant from Aurangabad to Patna on January 27, 2026 and February 28, 2026 for sightseeing and shopping for wedding items. But during this time, under the influence of alcohol, the petitioner again forced the informant at Hotel Magadh Palace. When I complained about this to Jitendra Kumar Singh and Nisha Devi, they told me to do as Rajveer Singh alias Sagar Singh said, or the marriage would not take place and they again demanded rupees ten lakh rupees in cash, gold jewellery, and other items. It is further alleged that the they also abused the informant's parents and drove them out of the home and threatened that if they donot withdraw the application given against them to the Superintendent of Police and the Women's Police Station,
---	---

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1st-CUM- SPECIAL
JUDGE (SC/ST, NDPS & CHILDREN ACT)
AURANGABAD (BIHAR)

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1708 OF 2026
ARISING OUT OF MAHILA PS CASE NO: 14 OF 2026

<u>Cont....</u> 16.07.2026	they would kill the informant and her family members. Hence, the FIR has been registered against the accused persons. The Ld. Advocate for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence. No bail petition was moved on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or the higher court. He further submitted that the petitioner is a respectable man, after the applicant refused to succumb to her illegal and extortionate monetary demands. The allegations levelled in the FIR are fabrication, concocted to settle personal scores and to blackmail the petitioner. The narrative presented by the complainant is inherently improbable and riddled with inconsistencies, which becomes evident from the circumstances preceding the filing of the complaint. The FIR has been lodged with malicious intent and an ulterior motive to extort money. There is an inordinate and unexplained delay in lodging the FIR which casts serious doubt on the veracity of the allegations and points towards a premeditated and calculated move to harass the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner possesses substantial evidence, including electronic records, which unequivocally demonstrates the complainant's attempts at extortion and her friendly and voluntary conduct which completely negates the allegation of rape. The conduct of complainant, both before and after the alleged date of the incident is completely inconsistent with that of a victim of a serious crime like rape. Her continued voluntary and friendly communication with the petitioner, even after the alleged incident, belies the very foundation of her complaint. The petitioner has been falsely and maliciously implicated in this case at the behest of the informant with sole and oblique motive of extorting a substantial amount of money from him and to tarnish his reputation. The allegations in the FIR are a product of afterthought and legal consultation, designed purely to harass the petitioner. The petitioner has in his possession electronic evidence, including but not limited to WhatsApp chats, call recordings, and messages, which clearly establish the extortionate demands made by the complainant and her associates. This evidence will be produced before the IO and the court at the appropriate stage to prove the petitioner's innocence and the complainant's mala fide intentions. The petitioner has an unblemished record with no criminal antecedents whatsoever. He has deep roots in society and there is no possibility of him absconding or fleeing from justice. The petitioner is ready to abide by the terms and conditions of bail. Hence, prayed to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Per-contra, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer and has prayed to reject the anticipatory bail. Heard and perused the case record as well as the case diary as well as other materials available on the record. From perusal of the record, it appears that petitioner is named in the FIR. On perusal of the case diary vide para 3, 5, 6 and 39, the re-statement of informant and statement of witnesses have been recorded by the IO of this case U/s-180 BNSS, in which the witnesses have elaborated the sequence of events and supported the allegations of prosecution. The supervision report finds place	
---	--	--

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1st-CUM- SPECIAL
JUDGE (SC/ST, NDPS & CHILDREN ACT)
AURANGABAD (BIHAR)

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1708 OF 2026

ARISING OUT OF MAHILA PS CASE NO: 14 OF 2026

Cont.... 16.07.2026	vide para-48 of the CD therein the case has been found true against the accused-petitioner. The FIR itself alleges that the accused threatened the informant and her family with dire consequences if they did not withdraw their complaint. On perusal of the case diary, WhatsApp chats and PhonePe which support the allegations of prosecution. Further, the FIR contains specific allegations regarding dates, places (hotels), the manner of commission of the offence, and the role attributed to the accused. The allegations are supported by details of alleged monetary transactions (cheque and UPI).The accused is charged with grave offences, including repeated allegations of rape, criminal intimidation, and dowry demand. The allegations indicate repeated sexual assault and continuous coercion rather than a single isolated incident, making the accusations serious in nature. The investigation is still going on. Considering the entire facts and circumstnaces of the case as well as nature of allegation as made out against the accused-petitioner, I do not consider it judicious to give privilege of pre-arrest bail to the accused-petitioner. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the abovenamed accused-petitioner namely Rajeev Singh @ Sagar Singh is hereby stands rejected. Dictated & Corrected by me. (Vishwa Vibhuti Gupta) District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st-cum- Special Judge (SC/ST, NDPS & Children Act) Aurangabad, Bihar. Dated: 16.07.2026	
--	---	--

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1st-CUM- SPECIAL
JUDGE (SC/ST, NDPS & CHILDREN ACT)
AURANGABAD (BIHAR)**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1708 OF 2026
ARISING OUT OF MAHILA PS CASE NO: 14 OF 2026
