

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV,
AURANGABAD**

A.B.P. No.-1322/2026

Goh P.S. Case No. 153/2025

Chandan Kumar, Age- 30 years, S/O Chhotu Paswan @ Nand Kishor Paswan
R/o-village- Bhurkunda, P.S.- Goh, District- Aurangabad (Bihar)

.....Accused Person

Versus

State Opposite Party

.....
On behalf of the petitioner: Sri Pappu Kumar, learned Advocate

On behalf of the State: Sri Anil Kumar Chaturbedi, learned APP

ORDER DATED 06.06.2026

The instant Anticipatory bail Petition has been filed on behalf of the above named petitioner/ accused who is apprehending his arrest in connection with the Goh P.S. Case No. 153/2025 under Section 191(2), 191(3), 190, 189(6), 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 324(4), 3(5) of the BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case due to village politics and no such occurrence has mentioned in the FIR took place. The Learned counsel has further submitted that earlier no bail petition was filed/ pending either in this Court or any other Court. The Learned counsel has further submitted that all the alleged sections are bailable in nature except Section 109(1) of the BNS which has been added to make the case serious. The Learned counsel has further submitted that there is no specific allegation of assault against the petitioner. The Learned counsel has further submitted that two other cases Goh PS case no. 239/2024 and 190/2025 was pending against accused. Accordingly, the learned Counsel has prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, the learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail and has submitted that petitioner and other accused persons demanded extortion money (rangdari) from the informant and also attacked him and two persons were injured. Learned APP has further submitted that injury was caused on the head of the injured person which is vital part of the body. The Learned APP has further submitted that in the investigation so far the case has been found true against the petitioner and other co-accused persons. The Learned APP has further submitted that petitioner has criminal antecedent in Goh PS case no. 239/2024 and in Goh PS case

no. 190/2025. Learned APP has also submitted that the anticipatory bail petition of the other accused was rejected by this Court earlier. Accordingly, the learned APP has prayed that anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner may be rejected.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record. On perusal of case record it is revealed that this case has been lodged under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 189(6), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 324(4), 126(2), 3(5) of the BNS. The allegation against the petitioner as per the FIR is that on the alleged date of occurrence the petitioner/accused along with other FIR named accused persons and 20-25 unidentified persons surrounded the house of the informant and attacked him. The informant locked himself inside the house and thereafter the accused persons including the petitioner started hurling bricks and stones on his house due to which a Scorpio vehicle, a Pickup van and glass window of his house were damaged. On perusal of para-5, 7 and 8 of the case diary it appears that witnesses have supported the prosecution story in their statements recorded under Section 180 of the BNSS. The injury report of two injured persons Niraj Kumar and Anil Singh is also available on the record. Further, from the averments made in the bail petition and from perusal of the para-42 of the case diary it appears that petitioner has criminal antecedent and two other cases Goh PS case no. 239/2024 and Goh PS case no. 190/2025 are pending against the petitioner. Moreover, the investigation is still pending in this case.

Considering the above stated facts, circumstances, submissions made and nature of allegations this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of the anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner **Chandan Kumar is hereby rejected.**

Dictated by

I/C District & Additional Sessions Judge IV,
Aurangabad (Bihar)
06.06.2026

