

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV,

AURANGABAD

B.P. No.-564/2026

Daudnagar P.S. Case No. 248/2018

Ravindra Prajapati, Age- 43 years, S/O Late Ramesh Prajapati

R/o-village- Katiya, P.S.- Jori, District- Chatra (Jharkhand)

.....Accused Person

Versus

State Opposite Party

.....
On behalf of the petitioner: Sri Neeraj Kumar, learned Advocate

On behalf of the State: Sri Bindeshwari Prasad Tanti, learned APP

ORDER DATED 10.06.2026

The instant Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of the above named petitioner/ accused who is in custody since 26.03.2026 in connection with the Daudnagar P.S. Case No. 248/2018 under Section 392 of the IPC.

The Learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of suspicion. The learned Counsel has further submitted that earlier his bail petition was moved before the learned Court of SDJM, Daudnagar but the same was rejected on 30.03.2026 and except this no bail petition was filed/pending in any Court or any higher Court. The learned Counsel has further submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the other co-accused persons. The learned Counsel has further submitted that till now no Test Identification Parade has been conducted by the police to prove that the petitioner was present at the place of occurrence on the alleged date of occurrence or not. The learned Counsel has further submitted that no incriminating material was recovered from the possession of the petitioner which could connect the petitioner with the alleged occurrence. The learned Counsel has further submitted that it is clear from the FIR that only four persons were involved in the alleged occurrence and police has submitted charge-sheet against the said four persons. The learned Counsel has further submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Accordingly, the learned Counsel has prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, the learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail petition stating that the petitioner was involved in the alleged robbery. The

alleged offence is of serious nature and petitioner was a part of the robbery gang. The learned APP has further submitted that if bail is granted to the petitioner, he may commit such offence again. Accordingly, the learned APP has prayed the bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner may be rejected.

Heard both sides and perused the case record. On perusal of case record it is revealed that this case has been lodged under Section 392 of the IPC. The allegation as per the FIR is that on the alleged date of occurrence while the informant was transporting vegetables on his pick-up van bearing registration no. BR26K-9858 some un-identified persons robbed his pick-up van. On perusal of the case record it appears that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and initially the FIR was lodged under Section 392 of the IPC against unknown persons. However, the name of the petitioner has surfaced in this case during the course of investigation. In his confessional/disclosure statement given to the police the petitioner/accused has disclosed that robbed pick-up van bearing registration no. BR26K-9858 was given to him by one Rambalak Sahu which was intercepted by the Excise Police and Mahua flowers were seized from the said pick-up van and the petitioner/accused was apprehended by the police. From perusal of case record it appears that the said looted vehicle bearing registration no. BR26K-9858 was seized in Excise Case no. 110/2018, Gaya. From perusal of case record it appears that in this case after investigation IO has submitted charge-sheet under Section 395 of the IPC against the co-accused persons and investigation against the petitioner/accused and other accused persons is still going on.

Therefore, at this stage, considering the above said facts and circumstances and submissions made I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the bail petition of the petitioner **Ravindra Prajapati** is hereby rejected.

Dictated by

I/C District & Additional Sessions Judge IV,
Aurangabad (Bihar)
10.06.2026

