

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS**

**JUDGE, AURANGABAD**

A.B.P No.620/2026

Arising out of Aurangabad (Mufassil) P.S. Case No.10/2026

**Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)**

---

Shiv Kumar Yadav, age 60, S/o Badsah Yadav, R/o Vill- Bishambhar Bigha, P.S-  
Mufassil, Aurangabad, Bihar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party(s)

---

Appearance :

For the Petitioner(s)

:

Ms.Mamta Kumari

For the State

:

Sri Ajay Kumar, P.P

---

**24.03.2026**

Apprehending his arrest in connection with Aurangabad (Mufassil) P.S. Case No.10/2026 instituted for the offence u/s 126(2), 115(2), 352, 303(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioner has moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the Id. P.P. for the State.

The briefly stated prosecution story is that on 05.01.2026 at about 04:30PM, the informant was getting centering work done for the purpose of constructing a shade at the main gate of his house. At that time, all the accused persons, including the petitioner, came there armed with sharp cut weapon and assaulted him. Shiv Kumar yadav has assaulted him in which he sustained head injury. It is further alleged that accused persons have snatched his golden chain from his neck.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the petitioner is wholly innocent and has committed no offence. He further stated there is a counter case lodged by the petitioner against the informant vide Mufassil P.S case no.11/2026. Petitioners and informant are gotiyas. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent. It is therefore prayed that the petitioner be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS**

**JUDGE, AURANGABAD**

A.B.P No.620/2026

Arising out of Aurangabad (Mufassil) P.S. Case No.10/2026

**Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)**

On the other hand, learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned P.P. for the State.

As per FIR, it is alleged against twenty-one named accused persons that they had come to the place of spot by carrying sharp weapon in their hands and abused to the informant. It is specifically alleged against the petitioner that he had caused head injury to the informant. It is further alleged against the accused persons that they had snatched a golden chain from the informant. From perusal of record it appears that co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by this court vide ABP No.469/2026 on dated 10.03.2026. There is a case and counter case between the parties. As per para 3 of the anticipatory bail petition, it is mentioned that petitioner is having no criminal antecedent.

Considering the fact that co-accused has already been granted bail, there is case and counter case between the parties and the petitioner is having clean antecedent. Hence the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner, is hereby allowed. It is directed to release the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the learned court below and the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)  
Sessions Judge  
Aurangabad (Bihar)