

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL AND DISTRICT SESSIONS JUDGE-IV,**

**AURANGABAD**

A.B.P. No.- 609/2026

Arising Out of Town PS Case no. 96/2026

Prince Kumar @ Chunu Prince Raj Vs. The State of Bihar

ORDER DATED 28.04.2026

The instant Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed by (1) Prince Kumar @ Chunu Prince Raj, S/o Santosh Singh, Age- 20 years R/o Mohalla- Satyendra Nagar, PS-Town, District- Aurangabad (Bihar) arising out of Town PS Case no. 96/2026 pending in the court of CJM, Aurangabad under Section 126(2), 115(2), 74, 117(2), 109(1), 3(5) of the BNS.

Sri Praveen Kumar Singh, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner stated that no bail petition had been filed either in the present Court or in the Hon'ble Patna High Court and the petitioner had criminal antecedent in Town PS case no. 521/2025. The petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and had falsely been implicated in the present case because of being friend of co-accused. In light of given facts, the learned Counsel prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned A.P.P. Sri Dhramraj Sharma vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition on the ground that the allegations imposed against the petitioners was serious in nature.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record.

On perusal of case record it appears that the FIR has been lodged under 126(2), 115(2), 74, 117(2), 109(1), 3(5) of the BNS. The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with co-accused were eve teasing the sister of the informant. When he opposed, the petitioner in assistance with other accused persons assaulted the informant with iron rod, wicket, bamboo and sharp weapon. Perusal of the injury report of the informant depicts that he had lacerated wound over the left temporal region of the head and his CT Scan report depicts that he had scalp hematoma with soft tissues and bilateral maxillary sinus mucosal thickening. The eye witnesses of the case diary affirmed that the informant was attacked with

rod, wicket and bamboo. As head is a vital part of body and on head area coupled with the fact that genesis of the occurrence is eve-teasing. Considering the given facts, the Court is not inclined to enlarge him on Anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner Prince Kumar @ Chunu Prince Raj is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated by

District & Additional Sessions Judge IV,  
Aurangabad (Bihar)  
28.04.2026