

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL AND DISTRICT SESSIONS JUDGE-IV,**

**AURANGABAD**

B.P. No.-295/2026

Arising Out of Cyber P.S. Case No. 12/2026

Chandan Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar.

**ORDER DATED 01.04.2026**

The instant Bail Petition has been filed by (1) Chandan Kumar, Age- 28 Years, S/O Anil Paswan, R/o- village- Raipura, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Aurangabad (Bihar) arising out of Cyber P.S. Case No. 12/2026 pending in the court of learned ACJM-I, Aurangabad under Sections 303(2), 318(4), 319(2), 338, 336(3), 112(2), 3(5) of the BNS and Sec. 66(C) and 66(D) of the Information Technology Act. The petitioner is in custody since 01.03.2026.

Sri Krishna Pratap, the learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner stated that earlier no bail petition was filed before the present Court and the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Petitioner had clean antecedent and had falsely been implicated in the present case as he neither withdrawn money from his account nor he was participated in cyber crime activity. Having good faith with some other person he gave his passbook, ATM card and SIM card and that person misused his details. In light of given facts, the learned Counsel prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

The learned A.P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition on the ground that the allegation imposed against the petitioner was serious in nature.

Heard and perused the case record.

On perusal of case record it is revealed that this case has been registered under Sections 303(2), 318(4), 319(2), 338, 336(3), 112(2), 3(5) of the BNS and Sec. 66(C) and 66(D) of the Information Technology Act. The allegation on the petitioner is that in his Bank account Rs.2,00,000/- were credited and immediately Rs. 1,99,000 was debited. He was using his account to receive 5% commission from co-accused through gaming by doing cyber fraud. According to the case diary the petitioner was actively involved in the occurrence and his Bank account was being used for receiving and transferring money obtained through cyber fraud from different states. The documentary evidence to show the entire transaction is on record. As the matters pertaining to cyber fraud and the amount involved is huge, hence, the Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the regular bail petition of the petitioner Chandan Kumar is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated by

District & Additional Sessions Judge IV,  
Aurangabad (Bihar)  
01.04.2026

Uploading date: 02.04.2026

Uploaded by: Vikul Kumar Steno

***DIVYA VASHISTHA,  
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE IV,  
AURANGABAD, BIHAR***