

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.590/2026

Arising out of Tandwa P.S. Case No25/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

-
1. Golu Kumar, age 24 years, S/o Late Upendra Chandravanshi
 2. Aakash Kumar, age 18, S/o Late Upendra Chandravanshi
 3. Bhim Kumar, age 23, S/o Subash Chandravanshi
 4. Chhotu Kumar, age 19, S/o Subash Chandravanshi
 5. Munni Devi, age, 49, W/o Subash Chandravanshi
 6. Tara Kuwar, age 56, W/o Upendra Chandravanshi

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar ...Opposite Party(s)

Appearance :

For the Petitioner(s)	:	Sri Manish Kumar
For the State	:	Sri Ajay Kumar, P.P

13.03.2026

Apprehending their arrest in connection with Tandwa P.S. Case No.25/2026 instituted for the offence u/s 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 329(4), 74, 351(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioners have moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the ld. P.P. for the State.

The briefly stated prosecution story is that all the named accused persons entered into the house of the informant with an intention to commit theft and misbehaved with the female members. It is alleged that they have snatched the gold chain and mangalsutra of his wife. Further, on 03.03.2026, when the informant was going to get his brother treated, all the accused persons surrounded him and tried to assault him.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that the petitioners are wholly innocent and have committed no offence.

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.590/2026

Arising out of Tandwa P.S. Case No25/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

He further stated that a counter case has also been filed by the petitioners against the informant vide Tandwa P.S. Case No. 26/2026 and to escape from this case, informant has filed the present case. Petitioners are having no criminal antecedent. It is therefore prayed that the petitioners be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned P.P. for the State.

The informant presents in-person and submits before the court that he and petitioners in the present case are co-villagers and due to some misunderstanding, there was differences arose between them which resulted into scuffle between the parties. So far as outraging of modesty of female members are concerned, he submits that there is no such incident took place and he does not want to pursue the case against the petitioners. There was no pressure caused upon him and he is voluntarily making the aforesaid statement before the court.

Considering the fact that parties are co-villagers and informant wants to compromise the matter and he stated before the court that there is no outraging of modesty with the female members took place and it is further mentioned at para 3 of the petition that petitioners are having no criminal antecedent. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, is hereby allowed. It is directed to release the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the learned court below and the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Aurangbad (Bihar)