IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI
Cum THE SPECIAL EXCLUSIVE JUDGE (POCSO)
CIVIL COURT – AURANGABAD

Present:- Sri Laxmikant Mishra

Regular Bail Petition No. 279 of year 2026
Haspura P.S. Case No. 258 of year 2025

Munna Khan @ Irshad Khan S/o- Nausad Khan.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar……..... Opposite Party

For petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Jay Nandan
For The State : Ld. Addl.P.P. Sri Shiv Lal Mehta

18.03.2026 This bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioner named above. This regular bail petition is filed in connection with Haspura P. S. Case No. 258 of 2025, u/s 137(2) of the B.N.S. Copy of bail petition earlier supplied to prosecution. Heard both sides and perused material available on record.

As per averment of F.I.R. , the case of the prosecution is that on date 03.09.2025, victim went in morning at 08:00 am for her examination to her school but not returned to her home. This case filed against unknown persons. After recovery victim stated in her statement u/s 183 of the B.N.S.S. that accused Suhail and Riyan Khan undergone wrong act with her. These accused persons left victim at Aurangabad and went from there. Thereafter victim called Munna Khan and on her own will she went with him. Accused Munna Khan later on left victim at Haspura police station. Victim admitted that she herself forced accused to go with her. Police submitted charge-sheet against co-accused Riyan Ansari and Suhail Ansari and investigation continued against Munna Khan. Supplementary investigation is yet now pending against Munna Khan.

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. This case is based on false, frivolous and baseless concocted story only to harass petitioner. No any other bail petition pending about petitioner either in this court or in superior courts. There is no any criminal antecedent of petitioner. Mobile number about which said in F.I.R. not belonging to this petitioner. There is no allegation with rape against this petitioner. Petitioner only helped victim. Victim herself proposed to marry with her but petitioner refused and petitioner not undergone any wrong act with her. Petitioner himself surrender before court. There is no chance of absconding and petitioner is ready to furnish bail bond as per satisfaction of the court.

On the other hand, Learned Special Public Prosecutor opposed bail petition and it has been submitted that the offence is of grievous nature and petitioner not deserve the bail.

After going through hearing from both sides and perusing material available on record, it transpires that it is admitted by victim that she forcefully went with this petitioner. From perusal of material it transpires that accused Suhail Ansari and Riyan Ansari acquitted after trial. There is no allegation of any wrong act against present petitioner. .

Considering the facts and circumstance of the case and nature of allegations this bail petition is being hereby **allowed** and petitioner is directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of amount Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below, with condition that, bailors shall be close relative or co-villager.

Additional Sessions Judge-VI
Cum Special Exclusive Judge (POCSO)
Civil Court – Aurangabad
18.03.2026