

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.573/2026

Arising out of Goh P.S. Case No.39/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

Prateek Kumar @ Prateek Trivedi Golu, age 29, S/o Sanjay Trivedi, Vill-Goh, P.S-Goh, Aurangabad, Bihar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party(s)

Appearance :

For the Petitioner(s) : Shri Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi

For the State : Sri Ajay Kumar, P.P

01.04.2026

Apprehending his arrest in connection with Goh P.S. Case No.39/2026 instituted for the offence u/s 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 308(2), 303(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioner has moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the ld. P.P. for the State.

It is the case of the prosecution that on 01.02.2026 at about 08:00PM all the accused persons including the petitioner have threatened the informant to kill him on the point of gun and taken away his money Rs.17,000/- from his shop.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent. Petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further stated there is a counter case vide Goh P.S case no. 40/2026 lodged by the petitioner against the informant. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent. It is therefore prayed that the petitioner be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned P.P. for the

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.573/2026

Arising out of Goh P.S. Case No.39/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

State.

From perusal of the case diary, it appears that at paragraph 30, the petitioner named as Prateek Kumar is having two criminal antecedents, i.e., Goh P.S. Case No. 218/2019 registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 427, 504 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act, and another Goh P.S. Case No. 67/2023 registered under the Arms Act. However, in the present anticipatory bail petition, the petitioner has not disclosed the aforesaid criminal antecedents. Thus, it appears that the petitioner has concealed material facts from this Court.

Accordingly, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, and the same is hereby rejected. However, the petitioner is given liberty to renew their prayer for bail after properly mentioned his criminal antecedents.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Aurangabad (Bihar)