

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.569/2026

Arising out of Muffasil P.S. Case No.195/2025

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

1. Surendra Yadav, age 52, S/o Chalitra Yadav

2. Sonu Kumar, age 24, S/o Surendra Yadav

Both are R/o Vill-Bisaini Tole Nawdiha, P.S-Aurangabad(Muffasil),
Aurangabad, Bihar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party(s)

Appearance :

For the Petitioner(s)

:

Sri Baliram Kumar

For the State

:

Sri Ajay Kumar, P.P

09.04.2026

Apprehending their arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No.195/2025 instituted under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 109(1) 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioners have moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the ld. P.P. for the State.

The brief facts of the case of the prosecution are that on 25.05.2025 at 12:00 hours, the informant Krishna Singh (Yadav) was going to attend the call of nature, on the way his nephew Sonu Kumar(petitioner no.2 herein), Manoj Kumar and Pintu Kumar assaulted him by means of lathi, danda and rod as a result of which he sustained head injury. When his son Chhotu Kumar came for his rescue, they also assaulted and caused head injury to him. He further alleged that during course of treatment at Sadar Hospital, Aurangbad, Jitendra Yadav, Surendra Yadav(petitioner no.1), Sonu Kumar, Manoj Kumar and Pintu Kumar came and assaulted both the sons of informant namely Pankaj Kumar and Chhotu Kumar by fists and slaps.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the petitioners are wholly innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. Nothing has been happened as

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.569/2026

Arising out of Muffasil P.S. Case No.195/2025

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

alleged by the informant. There is a counter FIR vide Muffasil P.S case no.196/2025. Petitioners are having no criminal antecedent. It is therefore prayed that the petitioners be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned P.P. for the State.

There are general and omnibus allegations against all persons including the petitioners that they had caused injury to the informant and his son by lathi and rod. There is a counter FIR vide Muffasil P.S case no.196/2025. So far as injuries are concerned, it is mentioned in the injury report of informant that he had sustained simple injury and one Chhotu had sustained lacerated wound over occipital area and during NCCT brain, no significant trauma related intracranial abnormality seen while during X-ray right shoulder scapula fracture was seen due to which nature of injury over the shoulder was found to be grievous but it is further mentioned in the injury report that the aforesaid injury was caused by hard and blunt substance

Considering the fact that there is no sharp cutting weapon used and injury over vital part of body was not found dangerous in nature. Apart from the aforesaid facts other co-accused persons have been released on bail. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, is hereby allowed. It is directed to release the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount by each of petitioners subject to the satisfaction of the learned court below and the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Aurangabad (Bihar)