

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.567/2026

Arising out of Mali P.S. Case No.29/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

1. Ajay Thakur, age 40, S/o Suryadev Thakur
2. Lavkush Thakur, age 21, S/o Ajay Thakur
3. Ful Kumari Devi age 38, W/o Ajay Thakur
 R/o Vill- Ajnia, P.S-Mali, Aurangabad, Bihar
4. Ranjeet Thakur, age 35, S/o Pravesh Thakur
5. Mukesh Thakur, age 30, S/o Pravesh Thakur
6. Prince Thakur, age 19, S/o Pravesh Thakur
 R/o Vill- Simra Uchit Bhan, P.S-Mali, Aurangabad,Bihar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party(s)

Appearance :

For the Petitioner(s) : Shri Krishna Prasad
For the State : Sri Ajay Kumar, P.P

01.04.2026

Apprehending their arrest in connection with Mali P.S. Case No.29/2026 instituted for the offence u/s 126(2), 191(2), 190, 115(2), 118(1), 109, 329(3),352, 351(2), 351(3) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioners have moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the Id. P.P. for the State.

It is the case of the prosecution that on 22.02.2026 at about 10:00AM when the informant was coming from his field that all the accused persons armed with iron rod, lathi, abused the informant. When his son objected then accused persons have assaulted to his son in which his son sustained head injury.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent. Petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He further stated that there is longstanding land dispute. No specific allegation against the petitioners. It is therefore prayed that the petitioner be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.567/2026

Arising out of Mali P.S. Case No.29/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

On the other hand, learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned P.P. for the State.

From perusal of the record, it appears that the dispute between the parties arose due to irrigation of land. There is an earlier FIR vide Mali P.S case no. 08/2026 has lodged against the petitioners except petitioner no.1 Ajay Thakur, in which they have already been released on anticipatory bail. It is alleged in the FIR that petitioners have caused head injury to the son of informant but from perusal of an injury report no head injury was found and injuries were appeared to be caused over left and right hand of the injured person. Injuries are found to be simple and caused by hard and blunt substance. Except the present FIR which has been lodged by the informant, petitioners are having no criminal antecedent.

Considering the fact that injuries are found to be simple in nature. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, is hereby allowed. It is directed to release the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount by each of petitioners subject to the satisfaction of the learned court below and the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Aurangabad (Bihar)