

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS**

**JUDGE, AURANGABAD**

A.B.P No.565/2026

Arising out of Barun P.S. Case No.42/2026

**Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)**

- 
1. Reshmi Devi, Age 24 years, D/o Vinod Kumar Singh
  2. Urmila Devi, age 24 years, D/o Arvind Singh
  3. Rinku Devi, age 32 years, D/o Ram Narayan Singh
- All R/o Vill- Rediya, P.S-Barun, Aurangabad, Bihar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party(s)

---

Appearance :

For the Petitioner(s) : Sri Manoj Kumar Tiwary

For the State : Sri Ajay Kumar, P.P

---

**10.03.2026**

Apprehending their arrest in connection with Barun P.S. Case No.42/2026 instituted for the offence u/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioners have moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the ld. P.P. for the State.

The briefly stated prosecution story is that on 23.01.2026 at about 08:30 PM, the informant was at her house. At that time, the accused persons, including the petitioners, armed with weapon, came there and assaulted her in which she sustained injury. It is also alleged that accused persons also assaulted to her husband in which her husband sustained head and hand injury. It is also alleged that Arvind Singh snatched a golden locket from the informant.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that the petitioners are wholly innocent and have committed no offence. He further stated that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. He further stated that as a matter of fact, there is case and counter case vide Barun P.S. case No.45/2026. Petitioners are having no criminal antecedent. It is therefore prayed that the petitioners be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS**

**JUDGE, AURANGABAD**

A.B.P No.565/2026

Arising out of Barun P.S. Case No.42/2026

**Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)**

On the other hand, learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned P.P. for the State.

As per FIR, nine accused persons including the petitioners had caused injury to the side of the informant party. It is specifically alleged against the accused person named as Arvind Singh, Bhola Kumar and Sanju Kumar that they had injured to the family members of the informant. So far as petitioners are concerned, there are no specific allegations against them and no overt act was played from the side of the petitioner. It is mentioned at para 3 of the anticipatory bail petition that the petitioners are having no criminal antecedent.

Considering the fact that petitioners are ladies, no specific allegations attributed against them and they are having clean antecedent, hence, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, is hereby allowed. It is directed to release the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the learned court below and the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)  
Sessions Judge  
Aurangbad (Bihar)