IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- III, AURANGABAD
BAIL PETITION No.- 258/2026
Arising Out of Haspura P.S. Case No. 261 of 2025

Laalu Kumar @ Yuvraj Kumar **Petitioner**
Vs.
The State of Bihar **Opposite party**

C.I.S. Reg. No. 258/2026

Sr. No.	Date	Order with signature of the Court	Office Action/s taken with Date
	25.03.2026	**IN THE MATTER OF :-** **Laalu Kumar @ Yuvraj Kumar**, aged about 23 years, S/o Suresh Singh. Resident of village Kaji Bigha, P.S.-Haspura, District- Aurangabad (Bihar). .....Petitioner Versus **The State of Bihar** .....Opposite Party Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner : Shri Abhijeet Kumar, Ld. Advocate Ld. Counsel for the O. P. (State) : Shri K. C. Singh Deo, Ld. A.P.P. **ORDER** This bail application has been preferred by the above named petitioner **Laalu Kumar @ Yuvraj Kumar** in connection with Haspura P.S. Case No. 261/2025 dated 08.09.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) BNS against the accused persons including the petitioner. The accused petitioner is in custody since 29.01.2026. A copy of the petition has already been supplied to the Learned Add. Public prosecutor. The brief facts of the case is that the informant Moti Lal Singh, On 07.09.2025 at about 08:00 PM, all the FIR named accused persons, who are neighbors of the informant, came equipped with deadly weapons and started a murderous assault on him. Upon hearing the alarm, family members rushed to rescue him, whereupon Laalu Kumar attacked the informant with deadly weapons. Thereafter, the informant's wife, Nitu Devi, was caught by the hair and violently thrown to the ground by Shiv Kumar Yadav, Bablu Kumar and Laalu Kumar (petitioner), thereby outraging her modesty and also causing a head injury. During the occurrence, the accused Kunal Kumar and Bablu Kumar snatched away a gold chain weighing one bhar, worth of Rs. 90,000/-. Thereafter, when Umesh Yadav and Uday Yadav came to rescue, they were also assaulted by all the accused persons, due to which Umesh Kumar sustained head injuries at four places and fracture of one leg. On hearing the alarm, when the villagers arrived, Laalu Kumar and Shiv Kumar Yadav forcibly entered the house and began firing shots from a firearm, and also assaulted the informant's children. He further alleged that all the accused persons are habitual criminals and have criminal antecedents. In the past several years, they have repeatedly threatened that they would not allow the informant to reside there under any circumstances, and that if he continued to stay, they would kill him along with his family. All the accused persons are involved in a previous murder case relating to the abduction and murder of Anil Singh, a tempo driver of their village. Therefore, this case has been lodged against the petitioners. Heard Shri Abhijeet Kumar, the Learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kr. Chandrashekhar Singh Deo, Learned A.P.P. for the State. The Ld. counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is entirely innocent and has falsely implicated in this case. The counter case filed by the petitioner has	

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- III, AURANGABAD
BAIL PETITION No.- 258/2026
Arising Out of Haspura P.S. Case No. 261 of 2025

Laalu Kumar @ Yuvraj Kumar **Petitioner**
Vs.
The State of Bihar **Opposite party**
C.I.S. Reg. No. 258/2026

	Contd…… **25.03.2026**	been registered as FIR no. 260/25 in Haspura police station. The petitioner was not present at the time of the incident, rather Shiv Kumar and Baijnath Singh have been implicated in the incident due to their well-wishers. The allegations levelled against the petitioner is not applicable. The petitioner will put forth the main points during the debate. He is a person with a family. The bullet was fired by the informant in the said incident. The petitioner is a person having movable and immovable property. The petitioner is ready to abide by all conditions imposed by this learned court and shall remain present before this learned court whenever so directed. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 29.01.2026. Hence, prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail. On the other hand, the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of the state has objected to the plea taken by the petitioner and submitted that there is sufficient material against the petitioner regarding his involvement in the occurrence and prayed to reject the bail petition. Heard and perused the case record as well as case diary. From perusal of case record and case diary, it transpires that the petitioner is named in FIR and there is direct allegation against the petitioner to assault the informant and outrage the informant's wife modesty. The petitioner entered into the house of informant and opened fire with a gun. There are five criminal antecedents against this petitioner of serious nature case. This case is of under section 109 of BNS along with other sections. As per para no. 11, 12, 55, 56, 57 of the case diary, the other witnesses have supported the case of prosecution. As per para no. 3 of the case diary, one empty cartridge of size 7.65 mm has been recovered from the place of occurrence. As per para no. 48 of the case diary, in supervision the case has been found true against the petitioner. Investigation of this case against the petitioner is still going on. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, there being materials available on the record and the nature of allegations of offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at present stage. So, the bail application filed on behalf of petitioner namely, **Laalu Kumar @ Yuvraj Kumar** is hereby **rejected**. However, petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after submission of charge sheet. **(The order is dictated, and corrected by me.)** **S/d-** **(Ashok Kumar Gupta-III)** **Addl. Sessions Judge -III** **Aurangabad** ***Office of Addl. Sessions Judge III , Aurangabad*** *Memo no.* *Dated* *Copy sent to Ld.* *for information and needful .* ***Addl. Sessions Judge -III*** ***Aurangabad***	