

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.507/2026

Arising out of Pauthu P.S. Case No.11/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

-
1. Arvind Yadav, age 46, S/o Ramashish Yadav
 2. Sunil Yadav, age 47, S/o Ramashish Yadav
 3. Ajit Yadav @ Ajit Kumar, age 28, S/o Ramashish Yadav
 4. Ranjeet Yadav @ Ranjeet Kumar, age 30, S/o Ramashish Yadav

All are R/o Karmapandey, P.S-Pauthu, Aurangabad, Bihar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party(s)

Appearance :

For the Petitioner(s)

:

Sri Surendra Kumar

For the State

:

Sri Ajay Kumar, P.P

08.04.2026

Apprehending their arrest in connection with Pauthu P.S. Case No. 11/2026 instituted under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioners have moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the Id. P.P. for the State.

The briefly stated prosecution story is that on dated 28.01.2026 at about 06:30PM, accused persons including the petitioners have assaulted to the father of informant in which his father has sustained injury. When the informant and his mother came to rescue to him then Ramashish and Rampravesh have misbehaved with her mother. Arvind Yadav and Sunil Yadav have assaulted to the informant by butt of pistol in which he sustained head injury. It is also alleged against Arvind Yadav and Chhotan Yadav that they have snatched Rs.5000/- from him, Rs.3500/- from his father and golden jitiya from his mother.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the petitioners are an innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. There is a land dispute between the parties. He further stated that there is a counter case vide Pauthu P.S case no.12/2026. Petitioners are having no

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.507/2026

Arising out of Pauthu P.S. Case No.11/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

criminal antecedents. On these grounds, it is prayed that the petitioners be given the privileges of bail.

On the other hand, learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned P.P. for the State.

There is an allegation against the accused persons including the petitioners that they have assaulted the informant, his father and misbehaved with his mother and snatched of cash and ornaments. From perusal of the case diary at para 9 and 10 i.e. statement of witnesses, it appears that no deadly weapon has been used in the occurrence. There is a counter case vide Pauthu P.S. Case No. 12/2026. Further, from perusal of the injury report, the injuries sustained are found to be simple in nature, caused by hand, fist and lathi. It is mentioned at para 32 of the case diary that petitioner is having one criminal antecedent.

Considering the fact that the injury is found to be simple in nature and there is a case and counter case between the parties. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, is hereby allowed. It is directed to release the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount by each of petitioners subject to the satisfaction of the learned court below and the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Aurangbad (Bihar)