

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.504/2026

Arising out of Daudnagar P.S. Case No.113/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

-
1. Ashok Paswan @ Ashok Ram, age 46, S/o Late Chutari Paswan
 2. Pankaj Kumar, age 19, S/o Ashok Paswan
 3. Rahul Kumar, age 21, S/o Ashok Paswan
 4. Gautam Kumar, age 22, S/o Ram Kumar Paswan
 5. Surendra Paswan, age 40, S/o Late Metar Paswan
 6. Rahul Kumar, age 21, S/o Surendra Paswan
 7. Om Kumar, age 19, S/o Surendra Paswan
 8. Rampravesh Paswan, age 70, S/o Late Chutari Paswan
 9. Tuna Kumar @ Satyendra Kumar, age 19, S/o Rampravesh Paswan
 10. Shlok Paswan, age 40, S/o Chutari Paswan
 11. Ramkumar Paswan, age 50, S/o Dukhan Paswan
 12. Purushotam Paswan, age 20, S/o Late Rajkumar Paswan
 13. Awadhesh Paswan, age 54, S/o Late Chutari Paswan
 14. Sukumar Paswan @ Shiv Kumar Paswan, age 48, S/o Late Dukhan Paswan

All R/o Uchkundha, Patralay-Chechadhi, P.S-Daudnagar, Aurangabad

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party(s)

Appearance :

For the Petitioner(s) : Sri Satyendra Dubey

For the State : Sri Ajay Kumar, P.P

10.03.2026

Apprehending their arrest in connection with Daudnagar P.S. Case No.113/2026 instituted for the offence u/s 191(2), 190, 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioners have moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the ld. P.P. for the State.

The briefly stated prosecution story is that on 02.02.2026 at about 06:00 hours, all sixteen named accused persons including the petitioners came at the house of the informant and started abusing him. When he objected not to do so, then all the accused persons started assaulting him by sticks in which he

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.504/2026

Arising out of Daudnagar P.S. Case No.113/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

sustained injury. It is also alleged that accused persons have also assaulted to his uncle and his mother.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that the petitioners are wholly innocent and have committed no offence. He further stated that there is a land dispute going on between the informant and petitioners. He also stated that informant and his family members assaulted to the petitioner for which a case vide Daudnagar P.S case No. 114/2026 has been lodged. He further stated that aunt of the petitioner has filed a counter case against the family members of the informant vide Daudnagar P.S case no.63/2026 and to escape from this case, they have filed the present case. Petitioners are having one criminal antecedent. It is therefore prayed that the petitioners be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned P.P. for the State.

An FIR has been lodged against seventeen named accused persons to the effect that they had come to the house of the informant, abused him and when he raised objection, all of them had beaten him by sticks due to which the informant, his uncle and his mother sustained serious injuries. It is further alleged against them that they had committed loot in his kirana shop. From perusal of an FIR and case diary, it appears that the petitioners and the informant are co-villagers. There was scuffle made between both sides and both sides had sustained injuries during the said scuffle. The informant had lodged an FIR vide Daudnagar P.S case No.113/2026 against sixteen accused persons. On the other hand, there was an FIR vide Daudnagar P.S 114/2026 was lodged by the side of the petitioners in which the informant and his family members have also been made an accused. The court has perused the copy of BP No. 212/2026 which is filed by the learned counsel for the petitioner along with the present anticipatory bail petition, it appears that one co-accused Munna Kumar has been enlarged on bail on dated

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS

JUDGE, AURANGABAD

A.B.P No.504/2026

Arising out of Daudnagar P.S. Case No.113/2026

Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)

23.02.2026. From perusal of the aforesaid order it is found that, although, three persons have been injured but out of them two persons have failed to produce paper regarding their treatment to the I.O. of this case and the said fact has been mentioned at para 24 of the case diary. So far as, injury of the informant is concerned, it was found to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance.

Considering the fact that the informant and the petitioners are co-villagers, injury of the informant was found to be simple, there is a case and counter case between the parties. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, is hereby allowed. It is directed to release the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the learned court below and the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Aurangbad (Bihar)