

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL AND DISTRICT SESSIONS JUDGE-IV,**

**AURANGABAD**

A.B.P. No.- 491/2026

Arising Out of Daudnagar PS Case no. 126/2026

Alok Kumar Ranjan and Rahul Kumar Vs.The State of Bihar

ORDER DATED 25.03.2026

The instant Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed by (1) Alok Kumar Ranjan, S/O Late Upendra Prasad Singh, Age-38 years, R/O village- Budhaura, PS-Alauli, District- Khagaria (Bihar) at present R/O Alok Ultrasound, P.O. and PS-Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad (Bihar) (2) Rahul Kumar, S/O Binod Singh, Age-29 Years, R/O village-Chauram, PS-Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad (Bihar) arising out of Daudnagar PS Case no. 126/2026 pending in the court of SDJM, Daudnagar under Sections 318(2), 319(2), 271 and 272 of the BNS and Section 4, 18 and 23 of the Pre-conception and pre-natal Diagnostic Techniques Act (in short "PCPNDT) Act.

Sri Ramjee Singh, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioners stated that no bail petition has been filed either in the present Court or in the Hon'ble Patna High Court and the petitioners had clean antecedents. The petitioners had falsely been implicated in the present case due to village politics and business rivalry. The entire search and seizure was illegal as it did not fulfill the requirements of S. 17(A) of the Pre-conception and pre-natal Diagnostic Techniques Act (in short "PCPNDT) Act. Since no summons was served on Dr. Arvind Kumar Nanda who was the proprietor. The entire act of the authority was doubtful and suspicious and violation of Section 30 (PCPNDT) Act as in search-cum-seizure 25 patients were mentioned while in the FIR only 13 patients have been specified. Similarly, the informant overlooked the fact that Dr. AK Nanda had applied before Civil Surgeon, Aurangabad for his desire to open an Ultrasound clinic. Likewise, the letter of District Magistrate, Aurangabad deferred from the letter sent by Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Daudnagar. In light of given facts, the learned Counsel prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned A.P.P. vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition on the ground that the allegations imposed against the petitioner was serious in nature.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record.

On perusal of case record it is revealed that this case has been registered under Sections 318(2), 319(2), 271 and 272 of the BNS and Section 4, 18 and 23 of the (PCPNDT) Act. The prosecution story is short is that the petitioner Dr. Anmol Kumar, Medical Officer PHC, Daudnagar along with other authorities raided the Alok Ultrasound clinic run by Alok Kumar Ranjan (Petitioner No-1) to ascertain whether the mentioned clinic was not running illegally to determine the sex of the foetus and

conducting foeticide. On seeing the raiding party the shutter of the clinic was ruled down and locked by both the petitioners. After efforts the shutter was finally opened. To obstruct the enquiry, petitioner No-1 shifted few women to another building. On enquiry the Ultrasound machine was found to be running, the probe had gel on it and few Ultrasound reports were being printed. No female assistant was found on the spot. Perusal of the clinic registered depicted that Ultrasound of 13 people was done and per person Rs.-900/- was being charged for the same. Petitioner No-1 informed that he had no degree of a MBBS Doctor nor he was a Radiologist. He further informed that he had applied for registration of the clinic in the name of the petitioner No-2 and failed to furnish any relevant document in support of running the clinic. Perusal of the case diary reveals that one Dr. Arvind Kumar Nanda, Medical Officer, Sadar Hospital, Jehanabad was the proprietor of the mentioned Ultrasound clinic who had degree of Radiology and was a qualified MBBS doctor. However, para 3, and 4 of the case diary clearly indicate that the Ultrasound was not being done by Dr. Arvind Kumar Nanda but by Petitioner No-1 by taking assistance of Petitioner No-2 and both were not a qualified doctor. Further, Para 5 and 6 of the case diary signify that the clinic was not yet registered. The above conduct of both the petitioners depict that although they were not a qualified medical practitioner but they were conducting Ultrasound in an unregistered clinic and their act was dangerous for the lives of the patients who visited there for Ultrasound. Hence, the Court is to the conclusion that the both petitioners do not deserve the privilege of the Anticipatory Bail. Accordingly, the Anticipatory Bail Petitioner of 1. Alok Kumar Singh (Petitioner No.1) 2. Rahul Kumar (Petitioner No.2) is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated by

District & Additional Sessions Judge IV,  
Aurangabad (Bihar)  
25.03.2026