

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL AND DISTRICT SESSIONS JUDGE-IV,

AURANGABAD

A.B.P. No.- 480/2026

Arising Out of Narari Kala Khurd P.S. Case No. 90/2025

Adesh Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar.

ORDER DATED 16.04.2026

The instant Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed by (1) Adesh Kumar, Age- 44 years, S/O- Vijay Pal, R/o- Mohalla- A/3-15, Gali No.03, Saboli Extension, PS- Harsh Vihar, District- North East Delhi-110083 arising out of Narari Kala Khurd P.S. Case No. 90/2025 pending in the court of Sri Rajeev Kumar JM-1st Class Aurangabad under Sections 303(2), 317(2) of the BNS.

Sri Anil Kumar Chaubey, the learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner stated that earlier ABP No. 188/26 which was filed was withdrawn on 10.02.2026. The petitioner is authorized lifter of Anushri Enterprises for lifting of scrap from NPGCL by tender. He further alleged that the petitioner has not tampered with the dharmkata and there is no involvement of the petitioner and it does not fall under theft rather it is a matter of overweight and underweight. In light of given facts, the learned Counsel prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned A.P.P. Sri Bindeshwari Prasad Tanti vehemently opposed the bail petition on the ground that the allegations imposed against the petitioner were serious in nature.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record.

On perusal of case record it is revealed that this case has been lodged under Section 303(2), and 317(2) of the BNS. The prosecution story in nutshell is that a six wheeler truck which was fully loaded with auctioned scrap from NSTPS store was being driven by the co-accused Ramdeo @ Ramdas. The weight of the truck was coming to be 8400 Kilogram when weighed at the two NPGCL Central Store weighbridge situated within the NPGCL premises. This raised suspicion among authorities regarding low weight indication as truck was fully loaded. When the truck was being taken to L&T weighbridge located within the NPGCL property the truck was locked through GPS. Meanwhile, the L&T weighbridge wires were tampered by the petitioner that could be seen in the CCTV footage. Thereafter, the co-accused

started the truck. When the CISF authorities took the truck to a private weighbridge outside the NPGCL the truck was again locked through GPS. On convincing the co-accused talked to someone on the phone and finally the truck was unlocked. When in presence of police the said truck was weighed at the stated private weighbridge the weight of the scrap along with the weight of the truck was coming to be 34315 kilogram but the weight of scrap only was coming to be 15810 kilogram. Thus, the petitioner along with co-accused by sabotaging the two weighbridges of the Company was trying to steal scrap having market rate worth rupees six lakh (Rs. 6,00,000/-). Perusal of the para 6, 7, 8 and 26 of the case diary and the seizure list clearly indicate that surplus scrap than the authorised limit was being carried by the co-accused. In para-5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of the case diary, the petitioner in CCTV footage was seen to tamper the weighbridge wires which indicate he was actively involved in the commission of present offence. As the mentioned surplus scrap had value of Rs. 6,00,000/- causing huge revenue loss to the Government, the Court is to the conclusion that the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of Anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the Anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner Adesh Kumar is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated by

District & Additional Sessions. Judge IV,
Aurangabad (Bihar)
16.04.2026

Uploading date: 22.04.2026

Uploaded by : Vikul Kumar (Steno)