

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL AND DISTRICT SESSIONS JUDGE-IV,**

**AURANGABAD**

A.B.P. No.- 427/2026

Arising Out of Town PS Case no. 582/2025

Bhupendra Singh Panwar Vs.The State of Bihar

ORDER DATED 24.04.2026

The instant Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed by (1) Shri Bhupendra Singh Panwar, S/o Bijendra Singh Panwar, Age- 54 years, R/o Flat no. J-302, Prateek Laurel, Sector 120, P.O.- Noida, PS- Noida, District- Gautam Budhha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh- 201301 arising out of Town PS Case no. 582/2025 pending in the court of CJM, Aurangabad under Section 316(2), 318(4), 3(5) of the BNS and Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act.

Sri Ashutosh Kumar Parhak, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner stated that no bail petition had been filed either in the present Court or in the Hon'ble Patna High Court and the petitioner had clean antecedent. The allegation against the petitioner was baseless and he was falsely implicated in the present case for harassment and humiliation by the informant. In light of given facts, the learned Counsel prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned A.P.P. Sri Anil Kumar Chaturbedi vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition on the ground that the allegations imposed against the petitioner was serious in nature.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record.

On perusal of case record it is revealed that this case has been registered under Section 316(2), 318(4), 3(5) of the BNS and Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act. The prosecution story in nutshell is that the informant in total invested Rs. 14.3 crore in the Tejal Engineer Private Limited of which the petitioner was owner out of which only Rs. 5.3 crore was returned by the petitioner while the remaining amount was shown in the name of the petitioner in the balance sheet of the Tejal Engineer Private Limited. Para-18 of the case diary prescribed the agreement entered between the petitioner and the informant whereby the petitioner was to pay part profit at the rate of Rs. 1,20,000/- to the informant every month. The bank account details of the informant and his parents are annexed with the case diary that depicts the investment made by the informant in Tejal Engineer Private Limited. As the amount invested by the informant is in crores which is backed up by documentary evidence, hence, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner Bhpendra Singh Panwar is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated by

District & Additional Sessions Judge IV,  
Aurangabad (Bihar)  
24.04.2026

Uploading date: 25.04.2026

Uploaded by : Vikul Kumar (Steno)

***DIVYA VASHISHTHA,  
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE IV,  
AURANGABAD, BIHAR***