

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, AURANGABAD (BIHAR)**

A.B.P. No. 363/2026

Arising out of Madanpur P.S. Case No. 25/2026

**Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)**

---

---

Ravindra Mehta, S/o Hira Mehta, age-40 years, R/o village- Kathwar Tole Bagla Par,  
P.S.- Madanpur, District- Aurangabad (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ..Opposite Party(s)

---

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner(s) : Sri Rampravesh Mehta, Adv.  
For the State : Sri Ajay Kumar, P.P.

---

---

**02.04.2026**

Apprehending his arrest in connection with Madanpur P.S. Case No. 25 of 2026 instituted under Sections 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 74, 117(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioner has moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the ld. P.P. for the State.

The briefly stated prosecution story is that the petitioner and other co-accused persons are alleged to have assaulted to Pramod Mehta an iron khanti, sword and iron rod and caused head injuries to him. It is further alleged that the accused persons entered into the house of informant took away Rs. 12,000/- cash and golden earrings.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged in the prosecution story. He has been falsely implicated in this case. The present case is the counter blast to Madanpur P.S. Case No. 28/2026. Injury was found to be simple in nature. Petitioner is having one criminal antecedent vide Madanpur P.S. Case No. 181/2024, but he is on bail in the said case. It is therefore prayed that the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail.

Per contra, learned P.P. opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and learned P.P. for the State.

Total seven persons named as an accused in the present case and out of them, three accused persons including the petitioner were specifically alleged that they had caused injury on the head of Pramod Mehta. It is mentioned at para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition that the petitioner is having one criminal antecedent Vide

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, AURANGABAD (BIHAR)**

A.B.P. No. 363/2026

Arising out of Madanpur P.S. Case No. 25/2026

**Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)**

Madanpur P.S. Case No. 181/2024 registered for an offence u/s 147, 341, 323, 325, 504, 506, 379 of IPC, in which the petitioner has been released on bail. So far as, the present case is concerned, it appears that the petitioner and the informant are co-villagers and there were dispute going on between them. It is observed that the wife of petitioner no. 1 had lodged an F.I.R. vide Madanpur P.S. Case No. 28/2026 against the informant and his family members for an offence u/s 126(2), 115(2), 110, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS. From perusal of the injury report, it appears that injury of Kanti Devi was found to be simple and injury of Pramod Mehta was also found simple in nature and caused by hard and blunt substance.

Considering the fact that the informant and the petitioner are co-villagers, there is case and counter case between the parties and injuries were found to be simple in nature and caused by hard and blunt substance. **Hence, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner Ravindra Mehta is hereby allowed.** Petitioner is directed to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court below and the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)  
Sessions Judge  
Aurangabad (Bihar)