

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL AND DISTRICT SESSIONS JUDGE-IV,**

**AURANGABAD**

A.B.P. No.- 350/2026

Arising Out of Complaint PS Case no. 58/2022

Amit Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar

ORDER DATED 28.03.2026

The instant Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed by Amit Kumar, S/O Late Dhananjay Sharma, Age-32 Years, R/O village-Bajalpur, PS-Uphara, District- Aurangabad (Bihar) arising out of Complaint Case no. 58/2022 pending in the court of Sri Ashish Kumar JM 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Daudnagar under Sections 498 (A), 379, 149 of the IPC and  $\frac{3}{4}$  Dowry Prohibition Act.

Sri Imteyaz Ansari, the learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner stated that no bail petition has been filed either in the present Court or in the Hon'ble Patna High Court. Petitioner had clean antecedents and had been falsely implicated in the present case because of being the husband of the complainant. The petitioner made several attempts to bring that the complainant to her matrimonial house but she refused to live with him. Hence, he filed a divorce case in Gaya. In light of given fact the learned Counsel prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

The learned A.P.P. vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition on the ground that the allegations imposed against the petitioner was serious in nature.

Heard and perused the case record.

On perusal of case record it is revealed that summoning order in the present case has been passed u/s 498- A of the IPC against the petitioner. The allegation of the petitioner is that he being the husband of the complainant subjected her to physical to mental cruelty for dowry demand after marriage. Perusal of the solemn affirmation of the complainant and enquiry witnesses depict that the reason of the tensed relationship between the petitioner and the complaint is due to extra marital affair of the petitioner with some other lady. The petitioner is husband of the petitioner and duty bound to take care of her but due to allegation of his alleged

romantic relationship with some other lady is the root cause of dispute between the parties. Hence, the present Court is not inclined to give anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the Anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner Amit Kumar is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated by

District & Additional Sessions Judge IV,  
Aurangabad (Bihar)  
28.03.2026