

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS**

**JUDGE, AURANGABAD**

A.B.P No.198/2026

Arising out of Deo P.S. Case No.17/2026

**Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)**

---

Nirbhay Singh @ Nirbhay Kumar S/o Amar Kumar lal, R/o Mohalla Godampar Deo,  
PO+PS-- Deo, Aurangabad, Bihar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Bihar

...Opposite Party(s)

---

Appearance :

For the Petitioner(s)	:	Shri Pradip Kumar Singh
For the State	:	Sri Ajay Kumar, P.P

---

**01.04.2026**

Apprehending his arrest in connection with Deo P.S. Case No.17/2026 instituted for the offence u/s 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 190, 191(2), 303(2) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, petitioner has moved before this court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail. A copy of the anticipatory bail petition has been served upon the ld. P.P. for the State.

The briefly stated prosecution story is that on 10.01.2026 at about 05:45PM, the informant and his cousin had gone out for a walk. Meanwhile, the accused persons, including the petitioner, suddenly attacked both of them by stick in which informant sustained head injury.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent. Petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further stated that due to village politics, the name of the petitioner has been including in the FIR. Petitioner was not present at the alleged place of occurrence. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent. It is therefore prayed that the petitioner be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS**

**JUDGE, AURANGABAD**

A.B.P No.198/2026

Arising out of Deo P.S. Case No.17/2026

**Present:- Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, Sessions Judge, Aurangabad (Bihar)**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned P.P. for the State.

From perusal of the record, it appears that there is no specific allegation has been attributed against the the petitioner. From perusal of the injury report, it appears that the injuries sustained by both the injured persons are simple in nature and caused by hard and blunt substance. It is mentioned at paragraph 58 of the case diary that the petitioner is having one criminal antecedent. However, it is stated at paragraph 3 of the anticipatory bail petition that the petitioner is having no criminal antecedent. In this regard, learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a supplementary application on dated 23.02.2026 stating that due to inadvertence on his part, the criminal antecedent could not be mentioned, whereas the petitioner is having one criminal antecedent vide Deo P.S. Case No. 40/2026. It also transpires from the record that co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide A.B.P. No. 278/2026 dated 23.02.2026.

Considering the fact that no specific allegation against the petitioner, injuries are found to be simple in nature. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner, is hereby allowed. It is directed to release the petitioner on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the learned court below and the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Dictated

(Rajeev Ranjan Kumar)  
Sessions Judge  
Aurangbad (Bihar)