

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1st-CUM-  
SPECIAL JUDGE (SC/ST, NDPS & CHILDREN ACT)  
AURANGABAD (BIHAR)**

**BAIL APPLICATION NO. 101 OF 2026  
DAUDNAGAR PS CASE NO: 796 OF 2025**

**Ravish Kumar, aged about 23 years, S/o-Rajmohan Sao, R/o Village-Pachkesar, PS-Karpi District-Arwal(Bihar)**

**....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Bihar**

**....Prosecution**

**Appearance:**

Learned Counsel for the accused-petitioner  
Learned Counsel for the State

- Shri Anil Kumar Chaubey, Ld. Advocate  
- Shri Ram Naresh Prasad, Ld. APP

**Order with signature of the Court**

**Dated  
11.03.2026**

The bail preferred on behalf of the accused-petitioner namely **Ravish Kumar** who has been in judicial custody since 05.01.2026 in connection with Daudnagar PS Case No. 796/2025 under Section 137(2), 96 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023. The CD and LCR was called for and the same has been received. After furnishing the copy of the bail petition to the Additional Public Prosecutor and this bail petition pressed today.

The learned counsel for the petitioner pressing the bail application, reciting the contents of the F.I.R. has tried to show that the accused-petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. No any bail application has earlier been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or any other court of law. It is further submitted that petitioner has been made an accused on the basis of mobile No. 8968674158 and his name is not mentioned in the FIR. He further submitted that as per FIR the informant was not aware about the petitioner and he has not expressed any suspicion against the petitioner. Not only this, the alleged occurrence did not took place on any place in his presence. During the course of investigation the IO traced the said mobile number and he obtained the knowledge the said sim is issued in the name of the petitioner and on that basis petitioner was arrested by the police. The victim had given her statement before the police that she willfully went to Patna and on the basis of her proposal the petitioner set to her village, hence, the case does not come under kidnapping. It is also clear from the statement of the victim that there was love affairs between them through the Instagram, so no any force was used by the petitioner to left her house. The alleged victim also taken her ornaments and cash willfully and left her family also. Hence, the alleged sections are not applicable against the petitioner. The accused-petitioner has no criminal history. The petitioner has been languishing in judicial

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1st-CUM-  
SPECIAL JUDGE (SC/ST, NDPS & CHILDREN ACT)  
AURANGABAD (BIHAR)**

**BAIL APPLICATION NO. 101 OF 2026**

**DAUDNAGAR PS CASE NO: 796 OF 2025**

**Cont....  
11.03.2026**

custody since 05.01.2026. The learned counsel for the accused-petitioner has submitted that he is ready to furnish bail bonds of reasonable amount to the satisfaction of this court. Hence, he prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Per-contra, Shri Ram Naresh Prasad, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appeared on behalf of the State and submitted that the petitioner has committed serious offence of kidnapping of a minor girl. Hence, prayed to reject the bail petition.

Perused the case record as well as case diary and perusal of case diary, it transpires that the FIR is registered against the unknown holder mobile No. 8968674158 which belongs to the petitioner. The allegation against the petitioner is that on 19.12.2025 at about 12 O'clock, he kidnapped the informant's minor daughter Divya Kumari. Perusal of the case diary para- 2, 3 and 4 goes to show that in the course of investigation, re-statement of informant and the statement of the witnesses have been recorded by the I.O. of this case under section-180 BNSS. In their respective statements, the witnesses have supported the allegations of kidnapping. From perusal of para-15 of the case diary, it appears that the victim girl has stated in her statement U/s-180 of the BNSS that she knew Ravish Gupta for the last six months and they both became friends on Instagram. Ravish Gupta used to say that if she brought money and jewellery, he would marry her. After which she kept on believing his words and on 19.12.2025, she ran away from her house taking her mother's jewelery and Rs. 60,000/- and went to Patna by bus from Bhakrua More. After reaching Gandhi Maidan, she called Ravish and he said that he is in Punjab and said her to stay at the station. She stayed at the station for two days, after which Ravish Gupta came to the station on 22.12.2025, after which she went with him to his room. Ravish Took out Rs. 40,000/- from her bag and said stayed with him but she started getting scared and said that she has to go to her house, her mother must be crying a lot. On 22.12.2025, Ravish Gupta made her sit in the bus from Patna Gandhi Maidan to Daudnagar and she returned to her house taking the jewelery with Rs. 20,000/- No physical relationship of any kind was made with her. Further, para-16 of the CD, the documents attached with the case diary shows that the date of birth of the victim girl is 14.02.2011 in her birth certificate, which is the age of a minor on the date of alleged occurrence. The victim Vidya Kumari was aged about 14 years 10 months and 06 days, on the date of occurrence, which shows that she is a minor and under the guardianship of her parents. The supervision report vide para-54 finds place, in which the case was found true against the petitioner. The materials available on the judicial record reflects that this is the case of kidnapping and the facts also shows that the petitioner had

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1st-CUM-  
SPECIAL JUDGE (SC/ST, NDPS & CHILDREN ACT)  
AURANGABAD (BIHAR)**

**BAIL APPLICATION NO. 101 OF 2026**

**DAUDNAGAR PS CASE NO: 796 OF 2025**

**Cont....  
11.03.2026**

abducted a minor girl and the investigation of this case is still pending.

So, Considering the facts and circumstances of this case and serious nature of allegations of kidnapping of a minor girl as made out against the accused-petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused-petitioner namely **Ravish Kumar** on bail. Therefore, this bail petition is hereby stand **rejected**.

**Dictated and corrected by me.**

(Vishwa Vibhuti Gupta)  
District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st-cum-  
Special Judge (SC/ST, NDPS & Children Act)  
Aurangabad, Bihar  
Dated: 11.03.2026

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1st-CUM-  
SPECIAL JUDGE (SC/ST, NDPS & CHILDREN ACT)  
AURANGABAD (BIHAR)**

**BAIL APPLICATION NO. 101 OF 2026**

**DAUDNAGAR PS CASE NO: 796 OF 2025**
