

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL AND DISTRICT SESSIONS JUDGE-IV,**

**AURANGABAD**

A.B.P. No.- 107/2026

Arising Out of Simra PS Case no. 01/2026

Shivpujan Baitha @ Shivpujan Raja and 3 others Vs.The State of Bihar

ORDER DATED 18.04.2026

The instant Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed by (1) Shivpujan Baitha @ Shivpujan Rajak, S/O Late Naresh Rajak, Age- 65 years, R/O village- Basdiha, PS- Simra, District- Aurangabad (Bihar) (2) R.P Rajak, S/O Ramdeni Rajak, Age- 42 years, R/O village- Ketaki Dosma, PS- Deo, District- Aurangabad (Bihar) , (3) Dinesh Ram, S/O Late Kariman Ram, Age- 55 years, R/O village- Mansara Simra, PS- Simra, District- Aurangabad (Bihar), (4) Suresh Sharma, S/O Late Madhav Thakur, Age- 52 years, R/O village- Mansara Simra, PS- Simra, District- Aurangabad (Bihar) arising out of Simra PS Case no. 01/2026 pending in the court of Rajeev Kumar Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Aurangabad under Section 406, 417, 418, 419, 420, 407, 468, 469, 471, 120B, 504 and 506 of the IPC

Sri Nagdeo Singh, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioners stated that no bail petition has been filed either in the present Court or in the Hon'ble Patna High Court and the petitioners had clean antecedent. The informant has registered the land in the year 2019 but had lodged the FIR in the year 2025. The allegation against the petitioner was false and this case is of civil nature. In light of given facts, the learned Counsel prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned A.P.P. vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition on the ground that the allegations imposed against the petitioner was serious in nature.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record.

On perusal of case record it is revealed that this case has been registered under Section 406, 417, 418, 419, 420, 407, 468, 469, 471, 120B, 504 and 506 of the IPC. The allegation on Shivpujan Baitha @ Shivpujan Rajak (petitioner no1) and RP Rajak (petitioner no.2) is that they transferred a piece of a land by executing a sale deed in favour of the informant but the same land belonged to the Government (Gair Majarua Aam). Dinesh Ram (Petitioner no. 3) and Suresh Sharma (petitioner no.4) were the attesting witnesses of the mentioned

sale deed. The present case is of civil nature and the legality of the alleged sale deed can only be decided by a Civil Court of competent jurisdiction. Hence, considering the civil nature of the dispute, the Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. The petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned Trial Court within two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order by furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Rajeev Kumar Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Aurangabad with conditions as laid down in Section 482 (2) of the BNSS. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners Shivpujan Baitha @ Shivpujan Rajak, R.P Rajak, Dinesh Ram and Suresh Sharma are hereby **allowed**.

Dictated by

District & Additional Sessions Judge IV,  
Aurangabad (Bihar)  
18.04.2026

Uploading date: 21.04.2026

Uploaded by: Vikul Kumar (Steno)

***DIVYA VASHISHTHA,  
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE IV,  
AURANGABAD, BIHAR***