

Summon of defendant No. 4 Gopi Ram received back served but none has appeared on behalf of defendant No. 4 Gopi Ram despite case called several times since morning. Case called once again but again none has appeared on behalf of defendant No. 4 Gopi Ram. Sufficiently awaited. Therefore defendant No. 4 Gopi Ram is hereby proceeded against exparte.

In view of statement of Sh. Anil Kamra Advocate counsel for plaintiff duly recorded separately, one extra opportunity granted to plaintiff for getting issued dasti summon of defendant well within 7 days and to ensure the service of these service upon defendants for the next date i.e. 13.02.2015.

An application for authorize representative has been filed on the ground that now applicant Sh. Harminder Singh authorized representative has been authorize by the complainant bank to represent the complainant bank in this case in place of Rajesh Kumar Sukhija. Heard. In view of this application the applicant Sh. Harminder Singh is allowed to appear in place of Sh. Rajesh Kumar Sukhija. The application stands disposed off accordingly.

Plaintiff is directed to ensure that the dasti summon returned to court one day prior to the next date of hearing.

Order for deposit of costs letter

An application for allowing deposit the costs filed today as the applicant could not deposit the same one day prior the date fixed in compliance to the order of the court. Heard. Applicant is allowed to deposit the costs with enhancement as per separate order on the application. Costs deposited.

Infructuous

In view of the reasons mentioned in the exemption application, the personal appearance of accused is exempted for today only.

Rs. _____/- be deposited at own responsibility. Application is allowed on the condition that the applicant shall obtained dasti summons of the witnesses to be served at own responsibility and no further assistance of the court is granted.

Rahul Kumar
Civil Judge (Jr. Division)
Abohar.

Today the case was fixed for consideration upon application under order 21 rule 37 CPC.

Heard upon application under order 21 rule 37 CPC. Counsel for the DH submitted that JD is having sufficient means of income and despite that he is not complying with the decree and not making the payment as per decree. Perusal of file reveals that DH has got on record list of property of JD on the basis of which warrant of attachment was issued and received back executed and despite that JD had not made the payment to DH as per record. Accordingly, I am satisfied that JD is having sufficient means of income but deliberately not making the payment to DH in compliance to the decree. Accordingly, conditional warrant of arrest of JD be issued for 20.03.2015 with the conditions that if the JD paid actual payment of Rs 75,220/- and then he be released immediately after receipt of payment against this conditional warrant and the payment be deposited in court immediately.

Note be given on warrant that in case of non execution the serving official shall appear in person to explain the reason thereof.

Warrant of attachment

Notice issued to JD through munadi received back effected but none has appeared on behalf of JD despite case called several times since morning. Case called once again but again none has appeared on behalf of JD Sufficiently awaited. Therefore JD Het Ram is hereby proceeded against exparte.

Warrant of attachment of property of JD be issued on filing list of property of JD by DH well within 15 days for 16.11.2015.

ORDERS FROM DATED 04.11.2015

Amar Singh Vs Satish Kumar

Present: Sh. A.S.Dhaliwal Advocate counsel for plaintiff

Notice to defendant through munadi not issued by Ahlmad. He is warned to remain careful in future. Fresh notice to defendant be issued through munadi on filing munadi fee by plaintiff well within 7 days for 04.12.2015. Dasti process, be given.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Mohindra Traders Vs Santa Singh

Present: Sh. G.K.Midha Advocate counsel for DH
LRs of JD No. 2 namely Jasbir Kaur and Nachhttar Singh exparte
Sh. A.S.Dhaliwal Advocate counsel for JD No. 1

Objections on behalf of JD not filed. On request of learned counsel for JD No. 1, for filing objections by JD No. 1, now to come up on 04.11.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Vishnu Vs Luxmi

Present: Sh. A.K.Gilhotra counsel for petitioner

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Canara Bank Vs Parmeshwari Devi etc

Present: Sh. Naveen Watts Advocate counsel for plaintiff

Defendant No. 2 already exparte

Notice issued to defendant No. 1 and 3 through munadi received back executed but none has appeared on behalf of defendant 1 and 3 despite case called several times since morning. Case called once again but again none has appeared on behalf of defendant 1 and 3. Sufficiently awaited. Therefore defendant 1 and 3 are hereby proceeded against exparte.

For exparte evidence of plaintiff, now to come up on 10.12.2015

Dt.04.11.2015

GUS

Sd/-

Amit Malhan,

ACJSD/Abohar.

Pawan Kumar Vs Ramesh Kumar

Present: Sh. J.D.Kantiwal Advocate counsel for plaintiff

Sh. G.L.Tuteja Advocate counsel for defendant

Written statement/reply not filed by defendant. On request of learned counsel for defendant, for filing written statement / reply by defendant, now to come up on 18.11.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015

GUS

Sd/-

Amit Malhan,

ACJSD/Abohar.

Subhash Chander Vs Baljinder Singh

Present; Sh. SC Narang, Adv. for DH.

Sh. JD Kantiwal, Adv. for JD.

Reply to the application of DH not filed by JD. On request of learned counsel for JD, for filing reply to the transfer of execution application by JD, now to come up on 04.11.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015

GUS

Amit Malhan,

ACJSD/Abohar.

Sd/-

Amit Malhan,

ACJSD/Abohar.

Dt.04.11.2015

GUS

Mukesh Gupta Vs Ruchika

Present: Sh. K.L.Doda Advocate counsel for petitioner
Respondent (exparte) in person with Sh. G.L.Tuteja Advocate
Reply to the application under section 24 of HMA filed by
applicant. Copy supplied. On request of learned counsel for applicant, for
consideration on application U/s 24 of HMA, now to come up on 10.12.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Bayer Ltd. Vs. Ms Kharaiti Lal

Present: Sh. Harpreet Singh, Adv. for DH.
Sh. GD Kamboj, Adv. for JD.
(JD exparte).
Arguments not addressed. On joint request, case is adjourned to
04.11.2015 for consideration on objections.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

UCO Bank Vs Baldev Krishan

Present: Sh. Atul Asija, Adv. for applicants.
Sh. AK Narula, Adv. for respondent No.1.
Respondent No.2 exparte.
Arguments not addressed. On request of counsel for respondent No.1,
case is adjourned to 19.12.2015 for consideration on application under Order 21 rule
48 CPC

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Durgesh Dhalia Vs Hari Chand

Present: Sh. R.K.Koundal Advocate counsel for plaintiff

Sh. S.C.Narang Advocate counsel for defendant No. 1 and 2

Sh. Naveen Watts Advocate counsel for defendant No. 3

Written statement/reply not filed by defendant No. 3. On request of counsel for defendant No. 3, written statement/reply filed by defendant No. 3 on the next date.

Notice issued to defendant No. 4 through munadi received back unserved. Fresh notice to defendant No. 4 be issued through munadi on filing munadi fee by plaintiff well within 7 days for 01.12.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Karnail Kaur Vs Jarnail Singh

Present: Sh. VK Gulbhadar, Adv. for applicant.

Respondent exparte.

Arguments not addressed. On request of counsel for the applicant, case is adjourned to 04.11.2015 for exparte consideration.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Tara Chand Vs Priya

Present: Sh. Arun Munjal Advocate counsel for applicant

Notice issued to respondent not received back from Jodhpur. At this stage learned counsel for applicant stated at bar that there are chances of compromise between the parties and requested for adjournment. In view of this request, for compromise, now to come up on 07.11.2015

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Anirudh Jakhar Vs Maninderpal Singh etc

Present: Sh. V.K.Mehta Advocate counsel for plaintiff
Sh. S.S.Sidhu Advocate counsel for defendants No. 1 to 5
Sh. Anil Kamra Advocate counsel for defendants No. 6 and 7
Defendants No. 8 to 10 exparte
On request of learned counsel for the parties, for consideration on
application, now to come up on 04.11.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Sarla Dhaka Vs Manphool etc

Present: Sh. V.K.Metha Advocate counsel for plaintiff
Sh. R.P.S.Brar Advocate counsel for defendants No 3 and 4
Defendants No .1 and 2 stated to have died.
Suit against defendant No. 5(LR of defendant No. 3 of defendant No. 1)
is dismissed under order 9 rule 2 CPC
No plaintiff evidence produced. Learned counsel for plaintiff
requested for adjournment. In view of this request, for evidence of plaintiff, now to
come up on 04.11.2015

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

RSM Resorts Vs Parveen Kumar etc

Present: Sh. R.K.Koundal Advocate counsel for plaintiff
Sh. Anil Kamra Advocate counsel for defendant No .1 & 2
Sh. Ved Parkash Advocate counsel for defendant No. 4(Defence struck off)
Defendant No 3 exparte

No plaintiff evidence produced. Learned counsel for plaintiff requested for adjournment. In view of this request, for evidence of plaintiff, now to come up on 19.11.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Balwant Singh Vs Gian Parkash etc

Present: Sh. SC Narang, Adv. for plaintiff.
Sh. KL Bishnoi, Adv. for defendants No.1 and 2.
Defendants No.3 and 4 exparte.

No defendant evidence produced. Learned counsel for defendant requested for adjournment. In view of this request, for evidence of defendant, now to come up on 26.11.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Jagsir Kaur Vs. Sukhmander Singh etc.

Present: Sh. Anil Kamra, Adv. for applicant.
Sh. GL Tuteja, Adv. for respondent.

No respondent evidence produced. On request of learned counsel for respondent, for evidence of respondent, now to come up on 19.11.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Ram Singh Vs Krishan Kumar

Present: Sh. J.D.Kantiwal Advocate counsel for plaintiff
Defendant exparte

No exparte evidence of plaintiff is present. On request of learned counsel for plaintiff, for exparte evidence of plaintiff, now to come up on 10.12.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Kamla Devi Vs Siri Ram

Present: Sh. R.K.Sharma Adv. for petitioner
Sh. N.K.Kukkar Advocate counsel for respondent

No rebuttal evidence produced. Argument also not addressed. On request of learned counsel for petitioner, for rebuttal evidence of petitioner, if any otherwise for argument, now to come up on 17.11.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Subhash Chander Vs Raj Bakash Singh etc

Present: Sh. A.C.Mutneja/Sh. N.K.Garg Adv. for plaintiffs.
Sh. GL Tuteja, Adv. for defendant No.1.
Sh. Harpreet Singh, Adv. for defendant No.2.

No defendant evidence produced. Learned counsel for defendant requested for adjournment. In view of this request, for evidence of defendant, now to come up on 26.11.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Lalit Mohan Vs Mahesh Kumar

Present: Sh. Arun Munjal Advocate counsel for applicant
Sh. U.K.Kukkar Advocate counsel for respondent
No applicant evidence produced. On request of learned counsel for applicant, for evidence of applicant, now to come up on 20.11.2015.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Ram Saroop Vs Sohan Lal

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. D.S.Brar Advocate
On request of learned counsel for complainant, for consideration on point of summoning of accused, now to come up on 27.11.2015.

Dt. 04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
SDJM/Abohar

Vikram Kumar Vs Sudhir Kumar

Present: Complainant with counsel Sh. P.L.Kamboj Advocate
Notice issued to serving official for recording his statement regarding proclamation of accused received back served but serving official not appeared despite service. Notice to serving official for recording his statement regarding proclamation of accused be again issued for 22.01.2016.

Dt. 04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
SDJM/Abohar

SBI Vs Jagtar Singh

Present: Sh. Aman Wadhwa Advocate counsel for complainant bank
Bailable warrant of accused issued received back executed but
accused not appeared despite case called several times since morning. Sufficiently
awaited. The perusal of report reveals that the accused is having sufficient knowledge
regarding requirement of his presence in this case and despite that he is evading the
service of warrant and concealing/absconding in this case. Accordingly to procure the
presence of accused non bailable warrant of arrest of accused be issued for 14.12.2015

Dt. 04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
SDJM/Abohar

Satish Kumar Vs Mukand Singh

Present: Sh. S.K.Thatai Advocate counsel for DH
Report of Ahlmad seen. Execution be registered. Notice to JD be
issued for 19.01.2016

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Pooja Vs Mahinder Singh

Present: Sh. Chand Kumar Advocate counsel for applicant
Notice issued to respondent received back with the report of not
residing. Applicant is directed to furnish correct address of respondent well within 15
days and then notice to respondent be issued for 12.01.2016.

Dt. 04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
SDJM/Abohar

Dt. 04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
SDJM/Abohar

Dt. 04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
SDJM/Abohar

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
ACJSD/Abohar.

Dt. 04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
SDJM/Abohar

Dt. 04.11.2015
GUS

Sd/-
Amit Malhan,
SDJM/Abohar

Pronounced
dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
Addl. Civil Judge(Sr. Division),
Abohar.

Pronounced
dt.04.11.2015
GUS

Amit Malhan,
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Abohar.

Warrant of attachment received back executed. Learned counsel for DH requested for adjournment for filing application under order 21 rule 66 CPC. In view of this request of learned counsel for DH, for filing application under order 21 rule 66 CPC by DH, now to come up on 27.11.2015.

Application under order 21 rule 66 CPC filed by DH. Notice of this application be issued to JD for 20.01.2016.

Sourabh Dhawan Vs Service India Pvt. Ltd

Present: Sh. Chand Kumar Advocate counsel for the plaintiff

Court fee submitted by plaintiff. Report of reader seen. Deficiency of court fee is made good by plaintiff. Suit be registered in the relevant register under rules. Notice of the suit be issued to the defendants on filing of copies of plaint through ordinary process by way of summon for the next date.

In addition to ordinary process one set of additional summon sent to defendants through RC on filing RC by plaintiff well within 7 days for 19.10.2015.

Dasti process be given.

Objections dismissed

Heard on the objection filed by the JD to the application under order 21 rule 66 CPC. The perusal of the same reveals that the objection are vague and baseless and have only been raised for the sake of objection. Hence, finding the objection petition devoid of any merits the same are dismissed. Let sale warrant of property of JD be issued on filing warrant fee by DH as per following schedule:-

Notice	Munadi	Sale	Report
29.10.2015	16.11.2015	18.12.2015	11.01.2016.

Rani

Today the case was fixed for +_____remaing on 12.10.2015 plaintiff' moved an application for granting permission to exmine handwriting expert. Copy supplied. For filing reply to this application by defendant, now to come up on